

Weak Neumann implies Stokes

(in collaboration with H. Heck, M. Hieber, O. Sawada and Y. Shibata)

International Workshop on Mathematical Fluid Dynamics
Tokyo
March 2010

Outline

Introduction

- The Helmholtz decomposition
- The Stokes operator

Main results

Proof of Main Results

- Localization
- Estimates in \mathbb{R}_+^n
- A solution formula

Outline

Introduction

- The Helmholtz decomposition
- The Stokes operator

Main results

Proof of Main Results

- Localization
- Estimates in \mathbb{R}_+^n
- A solution formula

The Helmholtz projection

- ▶ Let $1 < q < \infty$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain.
- ▶ *Helmholtz decomposition* exists iff

$$L^q(\Omega)^n = L_\sigma^q(\Omega) \oplus G_q(\Omega),$$

where

$$G_q(\Omega) := \{g \in L^q(\Omega)^n : \exists h \in \widehat{W}^{1,q}(\Omega) \text{ such that } g = \nabla h\},$$

$$L_\sigma^q(\Omega) := \overline{\{\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)^n : \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0\}}^{L^q(\Omega)^n}.$$

In this case, there exists the *Helmholtz projection*

$$P_q : L^q(\Omega)^n \rightarrow L_\sigma^q(\Omega).$$

Existence of the Helmholtz projection

- ▶ Let $1 < q < \infty$. Then the Helmholtz projection exists on $L^q(\Omega)^n$, where
 - ▶ $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$,
 - ▶ $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$,
 - ▶ Ω bounded with smooth boundary,
 - ▶ Ω exterior domain with smooth boundary,
 - ▶ Ω layer,
 - ▶ ...
- ▶ The Helmholtz projection exists $L^q(\Omega)^n \cap L^2(\Omega)^n$, $2 < q < \infty$, or $L^q(\Omega)^n + L^2(\Omega)^n$, $1 < q < 2$, Ω general unbounded domain (uniform C^1).

Contributors: Farwig, Fujiwara, Kozono, Miyakawa, Morimoto, Simader, Sohr, Thäter, von Wahl, Weyl, ...

Existence of the Helmholtz projection II

The Helmholtz projection exists on $L^q(\Omega)^n$, where

- ▶ $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, bounded Lipschitz domain and $q \in (\frac{3}{2} - \varepsilon, 3 + \varepsilon)$, Fabes, Mendez and Mitrea.
- ▶ $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ 'unbounded wedge' (smooth and non smooth), q depends on angle, Bogovskii.

Remark

The results above are sharp.

The weak Neumann problem



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = \nabla \cdot g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ n \cdot \nabla v = n \cdot g & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{WNP}_q)$$

The weak Neumann problem

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = \nabla \cdot g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ n \cdot \nabla v = n \cdot g & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{WNP}_q)$$

Proposition

(WNP_q) is uniquely solvable $\Leftrightarrow P_q$ exists.

Here: (WNP_q) is uniquely solvable : $\Leftrightarrow \forall g \in L^q(\Omega)^n \exists^1 v \in \widehat{W}^{1,q}(\Omega)$ s.t.

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} g \nabla \varphi, \quad \varphi \in \widehat{W}^{1,q'}(\Omega),$$

satisfying $\|v\|_{\widehat{W}^{1,q}(\Omega)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^q(\Omega)^n}$.

The Stokes operator

Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain such that the Helmholtz projection exists. Set

$$D(A_q) = W^{2,q}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \cap L_\sigma^q(\Omega)$$

and define the *Stokes operator*

$$A_q : \begin{cases} D(A_q) & \rightarrow L_\sigma^q(\Omega), \\ u & \mapsto P_q \Delta u. \end{cases}$$

Maximal Regularity

Let $I := [0, T]$. We say that A_q has *maximal L^p -regularity in $L_\sigma^q(\Omega)$* if for

$$f \in L^p(I; L_\sigma^q(\Omega))$$

there exists a unique

$$u \in W^{1,p}(I; L_\sigma^q(\Omega)) \cap L^p(I; D(A_q))$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) - A_q u(t) &= f(t), \quad t \in I, \\ u(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Known results on the Stokes operator

- ▶ Let $1 < p, q < \infty$. Then A_q has maximal L^p -regularity in $L_\sigma^q(\Omega)$, where
 - ▶ $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$,
 - ▶ $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$,
 - ▶ Ω bounded with smooth boundary,
 - ▶ Ω exterior domain with smooth boundary,
 - ▶ Ω layer,
 - ▶ ...
- ▶ A_q has maximal L^p -regularity on $L_\sigma^q(\Omega) \cap L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$, $2 < q < \infty$, or $L^q\sigma(\Omega) + L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$, $1 < q < 2$, general unbounded domain (uniform C^2).

Contributors: Amann, Borchers, Desch, Farwig, Fujita, Fujiwara, Galdi, Giga, Grubb, Hieber, Hishida, Kato, Masuda, Miyakawa, Morimoto, Prüss, Shibata, Shimizu, Simader, Sohr, Solonnikov, Ukai, Varnhorn, Wiegner ...

Outline

Introduction

- The Helmholtz decomposition
- The Stokes operator

Main results

Proof of Main Results

- Localization
- Estimates in \mathbb{R}_+^n
- A solution formula

Theorem

Assume that

- ▶ $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has uniform C^3 -boundary,
- ▶ (WNP_q) is uniquely solvable for some $q \in (1, \infty)$.

Then the Stokes operator A_q has maximal L^p -regularity in $L_\sigma^q(\Omega)$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Remark

In this case, A_q generates an analytic semigroup on $L_\sigma^q(\Omega)$.

The Navier-Stokes equations



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Proposition

Assume that

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has uniform C^3 -boundary,
- (WNP_q) is uniquely solvable for some $q \in (1, \infty)$.

Then,

$$\|e^{tA_q} P_q f\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})} \|f\|_{L_\sigma^s(\Omega)}, \quad \frac{1}{q} - \frac{2}{n} \leq \frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{s} \leq \frac{1}{q} + \frac{2}{n}, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

$$\|\nabla e^{tA_q} P_q f\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L_\sigma^s(\Omega)}, \quad \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{s} \leq \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{n}, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

$$\|e^{tA_q} P_q \operatorname{div} f\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L_\sigma^s(\Omega)}, \quad \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{s} \leq \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{n}, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

The Navier-Stokes equations

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) - A_q u(t) + P_q(u(t) \cdot \nabla) u(t) &= 0 \quad t \in (0, T), \\ u(0) &= u_0. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Theorem

Assume that

- ▶ $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has uniform C^3 -boundary,
- ▶ (WNP_q) is uniquely solvable for some $q > n$,
- ▶ $u_0 \in L_\sigma^q(\Omega)$.

Then $\exists T_0 > 0$ and a unique mild solution u of (1), i.e. $u \in C([0, T); L_\sigma^q(\Omega))$ and

$$u(t) = e^{tA_q} u_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A_q} P_q \operatorname{div} (u(s) \otimes u(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T.$$

Outline

Introduction

- The Helmholtz decomposition
- The Stokes operator

Main results

Proof of Main Results

- Localization
- Estimates in \mathbb{R}_+^n
- A solution formula

Idea of Proof

Set

$$\tilde{u} := \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j u_j, \quad \tilde{\pi} = \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j \pi_j,$$

where φ_j are cut-off functions and (u_j, π_j) is the push-forward of the solution $(\hat{u}_j, \hat{\pi}_j)$ to

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda \hat{u}_j - \Delta \hat{u}_j + \nabla \hat{\pi}_j &= \hat{f}_j && \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \operatorname{div} \hat{u}_j &= 0 && \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \hat{u} &= 0 && \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n,\end{aligned}$$

with a suitable right hand side \hat{f}_j .

Idea of Proof

Set

$$\tilde{u} := \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j u_j, \quad \tilde{\pi} = \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j \pi_j,$$

where φ_j are cut-off functions and (u_j, π_j) is the push-forward of the solution $(\hat{u}_j, \hat{\pi}_j)$ to

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda \hat{u}_j - \Delta \hat{u}_j + \nabla \hat{\pi}_j &= \hat{f}_j \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \operatorname{div} \hat{u}_j &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \hat{u} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n,\end{aligned}$$

with a suitable right hand side \hat{f}_j .

Problem:

$$\nabla \cdot \tilde{u} = \sum_j (\nabla \varphi_j) u_j \neq 0 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Correction term: Bogovskiĭ operator

For $g \in L^p(\Omega_0)$ with $\int_{\Omega} g = 0$ let $v := B_{\Omega_0} g$ denote the solution of

$$(DIV) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot v = g & \text{in } \Omega_0, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_0. \end{cases}$$

Correction term: Bogovskiĭ operator

For $g \in L^p(\Omega_0)$ with $\int_{\Omega} g = 0$ let $v := B_{\Omega_0} g$ denote the solution of

$$(DIV) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot v = g & \text{in } \Omega_0, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_0. \end{cases}$$

Then,

- ▶ Regularity ✓
- ▶

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (g - B_{\Omega_0} \nabla \cdot g) &= 0, && \text{in } \Omega_0, \\ B_{\Omega_0} g &= 0, && \text{on } \partial\Omega_0. \end{aligned}$$

Correction term: Bogovskiĭ operator

For $g \in L^p(\Omega_0)$ with $\int_{\Omega} g = 0$ let $v := B_{\Omega_0} g$ denote the solution of

$$(DIV) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot v = g & \text{in } \Omega_0, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_0. \end{cases}$$

Then,

- ▶ Regularity ✓
- ▶

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (g - B_{\Omega_0} \nabla \cdot g) &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega_0, \\ B_{\Omega_0} g &= 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega_0. \end{aligned}$$

Problem: Desired estimates for v known for *bounded Lipschitz domains* only.

Correction term: Weak Neumann Problem



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

For $g \in L^p(\Omega)$ let $v \in \widehat{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denote the weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v &= \nabla \cdot g, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ n \cdot \nabla v &= g \cdot n, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Correction term: Weak Neumann Problem

For $g \in L^p(\Omega)$ let $v \in \widehat{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denote the weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v &= \nabla \cdot g, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ n \cdot \nabla v &= g \cdot n, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then,

- ▶ Regularity ✓
- ▶

$$\nabla \cdot (g - \nabla v) = \nabla \cdot g - \Delta v = 0.$$

Correction term: Weak Neumann Problem

For $g \in L^p(\Omega)$ let $v \in \widehat{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denote the weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v &= \nabla \cdot g, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ n \cdot \nabla v &= g \cdot n, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then,

- ▶ Regularity ✓
- ▶

$$\nabla \cdot (g - \nabla v) = \nabla \cdot g - \Delta v = 0.$$

Problem:

$$g - \nabla v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega?$$

Estimates in \mathbb{R}_+^n : The case $(f, 0)$

For $\hat{f} \in L_\sigma^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ let $\hat{u} = \widehat{U}_\lambda^1 \hat{f}$ and $\hat{\pi} = \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda^1 \hat{f}$ denote the solution of

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda \hat{u} - \Delta \hat{u} + \nabla \hat{\pi} &= \hat{f} && \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \operatorname{div} \hat{u} &= 0 && \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \hat{u} &= 0 && \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n.\end{aligned}$$

Estimates in \mathbb{R}_+^n : The case $(f, 0)$

For $\hat{f} \in L_\sigma^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ let $\hat{u} = \widehat{U}_\lambda^1 \hat{f}$ and $\hat{\pi} = \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda^1 \hat{f}$ denote the solution of

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda \hat{u} - \Delta \hat{u} + \nabla \hat{\pi} &= \hat{f} && \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \operatorname{div} \hat{u} &= 0 && \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \hat{u} &= 0 && \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma

Let $q \in (1, \infty)$, $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2p'})$ and $X_n > 0$.

$$\mathcal{R}_{L_\sigma^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow W^{k,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}\{\lambda^{1-k/2} \widehat{U}_\lambda^1 ; \lambda \in 1 + \Sigma_\theta\} \leq C, \quad k = 0, 1, 2,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{L_\sigma^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}\{\nabla \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda^1 ; \lambda \in 1 + \Sigma_\theta\} \leq C,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{L_\sigma^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0, X_n))}\{\lambda^\alpha \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda^1 ; \lambda \in 1 + \Sigma_\theta\} \leq C.$$

Estimates in \mathbb{R}_+^n : The case $(0, a)$

For $\hat{a} \in W^{2-2/q,q}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, $a \cdot \nu = 0$ let $(\hat{u}, \hat{\pi})$ denote the solution of

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda \hat{u} - \Delta \hat{u} + \nabla \hat{\pi} &= 0 && \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \operatorname{div} \hat{u} &= 0 && \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \hat{u} &= \hat{a} && \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2q}} \|a\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.$$

Estimates in \mathbb{R}_+^n : The case $(0, a)$ Representation for the solution $(\hat{u}, \hat{\pi})$

We have $\hat{u} = \widehat{U}_\lambda^2(a, a)$, $\hat{\pi} = \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda^2(a, a)$, where

$$\widehat{\Pi}_\lambda^2 : \begin{cases} \widehat{X}_{a,b} & \rightarrow \quad \widehat{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \\ (a,b) & \mapsto -(\sqrt{\lambda - \Delta'} + \sqrt{-\Delta'}) e^{-\sqrt{-\Delta'} x_n} \frac{\nabla' \cdot p(a,b)}{\sqrt{-\Delta'}}, \end{cases}$$
$$\widehat{U}_\lambda^2 : \begin{cases} \widehat{X}_{a,b} & \rightarrow \quad W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap L_\sigma^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \\ (a,b) & \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c} (\lambda - \Delta_D)^{-1}(-\nabla' \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda^2(a, b)) \\ (\lambda - \Delta_N)^{-1}(-\partial_n \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda^2(a, b)) \end{array} \right) + e^{-\sqrt{\lambda - \Delta'} x_n} \left(\begin{array}{c} p(a, b) \\ \frac{\nabla' \cdot p(a,b)}{\sqrt{\lambda - \Delta'}} \end{array} \right) \end{cases}$$

Here,

$$\widehat{X}_{a,b} := \{a \in W^{1-1/q,q}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n)^n : a \cdot \nu = 0\} \times \{b \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n) : b \cdot \nu = 0\},$$

$$p(a, b) := \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \Delta'} a - \frac{\Delta'}{\lambda - \Delta'} b \right)$$

Lemma

For $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2q})$ and $X_n > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{\widehat{X}_{a,b} \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0, X_n))} \left\{ \lambda^\alpha \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda^2 \widetilde{K}_\lambda^{-1} : \lambda \in 1 + \Sigma_\theta \right\} &\leq C, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\widehat{X}_{a,b} \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \left\{ \nabla \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda^2 \widetilde{K}_\lambda^{-1} : \lambda \in 1 + \Sigma_\theta \right\} &\leq C, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\widehat{X}_{a,b} \rightarrow W^{k,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \left\{ \lambda^{\frac{2-k}{2}} \widehat{U}_\lambda^2 \widetilde{K}_\lambda^{-1} : \lambda \in 1 + \Sigma_\theta \right\} &\leq C, \quad k = 0, 1, 2.\end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\widetilde{K}_\lambda := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{1-\frac{1}{3q}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

Estimates in \mathbb{R}_+^n : The case (f, a)

For $X_n > 0$ we set

$$\widehat{X} := L_\sigma^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \times \widehat{X}_{a,b},$$

$$\widehat{\Pi}_\lambda := \begin{cases} \widehat{X} & \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0, X_n)) \cap \widehat{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \\ (f, a, b) & \mapsto \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda^1(f) + \Pi_\lambda^2(a, b) \end{cases}$$

$$\widehat{U}_\lambda := \begin{cases} \widehat{X} & \rightarrow W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap L_\sigma^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \\ (f, a, b) & \mapsto \widehat{U}_\lambda^1(f) + \widehat{U}_\lambda^2(a, b) \end{cases}$$

Lemma

For $X_n > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2p'})$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\widehat{X} \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0, X_n))} \left\{ \lambda^\alpha \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda K_\lambda^{-1} : \lambda \in 1 + \Sigma_\theta \right\} \leq C,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\widehat{X} \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \left\{ \nabla \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda K_\lambda^{-1} : \lambda \in 1 + \Sigma_\theta \right\} \leq C,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\widehat{X} \rightarrow W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \left\{ \lambda^{\frac{k-2}{2}} \widehat{U}_\lambda K_\lambda^{-1} : \lambda \in 1 + \Sigma_\theta \right\} \leq C, \quad k = 0, 1, 2.$$

Here:

$$K_\lambda := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{1-\frac{1}{3q}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Pull-back of f

For

$$f \in L_\sigma^q(\Omega)$$

we define

$$\begin{aligned} f_j &:= \psi_j f - B_{\Omega_j}((\nabla \psi_j) f) \\ \widehat{f_j} &:= S_j^1 f = \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, j} f_j \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\|S_j f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^n} \leq C \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega_j)^n}.$$

Pull-back of (a, b)

For

$$(a, b) \in X_{a,b} := \{a \in W^{1-1/q,q}(\partial\Omega)^n : a \cdot \nu = 0\} \times \\ \times \{b \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial\Omega)^n : b \cdot \nu = 0\},$$

we define

$$a_j := \Psi_j a, \quad \widehat{a}_j := \mathcal{G}_{j,\sigma} \Psi_j a \\ b_j := \Psi_j b, \quad \widehat{b}_j := \mathcal{G}_{j,\sigma} \Psi_j b.$$

$$S_j^2(a, b) := (\widehat{a}_j, \widehat{b}_j).$$

Ansatz

$$U_\lambda(f, a, b) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_j \mathcal{G}_{j,\sigma}^{-1} \widehat{U}_\lambda S_j(f, a, b) - \nabla N \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_j \mathcal{G}_{j,\sigma}^{-1} \widehat{U}_\lambda S_j(f, a, b) \right),$$

where N is the solution operator of the weak Neumann problem and $S_j(f, a, b) := (S_j^1 f, S_j^2(a, b))$.

Error terms

Setting $u := U_\lambda(f, a, a)$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda u - P_\Omega \Delta u &= f + \mathcal{T}_\lambda^1(f, a, a) && \text{in } \Omega \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= a + \mathcal{T}_\lambda^2(f, a, a) && \text{in } \partial\Omega\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^1(f, a, b), \mathcal{T}_\lambda^2(f, a, b), \mathcal{T}_\lambda^3(f, a, b)) &= \mathcal{T}_\lambda(f, a, b) \\ &:= T_{1,\lambda}(f, a, b) + \cdots + T_{6,\lambda}(f, a, b).\end{aligned}$$

Error terms in Ω



$$T_{1,\lambda}(f, a, b) := (P_\Omega \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_j [\nabla, \mathcal{G}_j^{-1}] \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda S_j(f, a, b), 0, 0)$$

$$T_{2,\lambda}(f, a, b) := (P_\Omega \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\nabla \varphi_j) \mathcal{G}_j^{-1} \widehat{\Pi}_\lambda S_j(f, a, b), 0, 0)$$

$$T_{3,\lambda}(f, a, b) := -(P_\Omega \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\Delta \varphi_j) \mathcal{G}_{j,\sigma}^{-1} \widehat{U}_\lambda S_j(f, a, b),$$

$$T_{4,\lambda}(f, a, b) := -(2P_\Omega \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\nabla \varphi_j) \nabla \mathcal{G}_{j,\sigma}^{-1} \widehat{U}_\lambda S_j(f, a, b), 0, 0)$$

$$T_{5,\lambda}(f, a, b) := -(P_\Omega \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_j [\Delta, \mathcal{G}_{j,\sigma}^{-1}] \widehat{U}_\lambda S_j(f, a, b), 0, 0),$$

Error terms on $\partial\Omega$

$$T_{6,\lambda}(f, a, b) := - \left(0, \nabla N \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_j \mathcal{G}_{j,\sigma}^{-1} \widehat{U}_\lambda S_j(f, a, b) \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega}, \right.$$
$$\left. \nabla N \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_j \mathcal{G}_{j,\sigma}^{-1} \widehat{U}_\lambda S_j(f, a, b) \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega} \right).$$

A formal solution formula

- ▶ Formally:

$$R(\lambda)f := U_\lambda(1 + \mathcal{T}_\lambda)^{-1}(f, 0, 0) = U_\lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{T}_\lambda^n(f, 0, 0).$$

A formal solution formula

- ▶ Formally:

$$R(\lambda)f := U_\lambda(1 + \mathcal{T}_\lambda)^{-1}(f, 0, 0) = U_\lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{T}_\lambda^n(f, 0, 0).$$

- ▶ Write:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda)f &= U_\lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{T}_\lambda^n(f, 0, 0) = U_\lambda K_\lambda^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} (K_\lambda \mathcal{T}_\lambda K_\lambda^{-1})^n K_\lambda(f, 0, 0) \\ &= U_\lambda K_\lambda^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} (K_\lambda \mathcal{T}_\lambda K_\lambda^{-1})^n(f, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

A formal solution formula

- ▶ Formally:

$$R(\lambda)f := U_\lambda(1 + \mathcal{T}_\lambda)^{-1}(f, 0, 0) = U_\lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{T}_\lambda^n(f, 0, 0).$$

- ▶ Write:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda)f &= U_\lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{T}_\lambda^n(f, 0, 0) = U_\lambda K_\lambda^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} (K_\lambda \mathcal{T}_\lambda K_\lambda^{-1})^n K_\lambda(f, 0, 0) \\ &= U_\lambda K_\lambda^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} (K_\lambda \mathcal{T}_\lambda K_\lambda^{-1})^n(f, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Show: $\exists \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\mathcal{R}_{L_\sigma^p(\Omega)}\{K_\lambda \mathcal{T}_\lambda K_\lambda^{-1} : \lambda \in \lambda_0 + \Sigma_\theta\} < 1,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{L_\sigma^p(\Omega)}\{\lambda U_\lambda K_\lambda^{-1} : \lambda \in \lambda_0 + \Sigma_\theta\} \leq C.$$

Estimates for $T_{6,\lambda}$

- ▶ Recall:

$$T_{6,\lambda}^2 = \nabla N \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_j \mathcal{G}_{j,\sigma}^{-1} \widehat{U}_\lambda S_j(f, a, b) \right) |_{\partial\Omega}$$

Estimates for $T_{6,\lambda}$

- ▶ Recall:

$$T_{6,\lambda}^2 = \nabla N \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_j \mathcal{G}_{j,\sigma}^{-1} \widehat{U}_\lambda S_j(f, a, b) \right) |_{\partial\Omega}$$

- ▶ Since $(\varphi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a uniformly locally finite cover, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_{L^q(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1-1/q,q}(\Omega)} \{ \lambda^{1-\frac{1}{3q}} T_{6,\lambda}^2 : \lambda \in \lambda_0 + \Sigma_\theta \} \\ & \leq C \mathcal{R}_{L^q(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)} \{ \lambda^{1-\frac{1}{3q}} T_{6,\lambda}^2 : \lambda \in \lambda_0 + \Sigma_\theta \} \\ & \leq C \mathcal{R}_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \{ \lambda^{1-\frac{1}{3q}} \widehat{U}_\lambda : \lambda \in \lambda_0 + \Sigma_\theta \} \\ & \leq C \lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{3q}} \mathcal{R}_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \{ \lambda \widehat{U}_\lambda : \lambda \in \lambda_0 + \Sigma_\theta \} \\ & \leq C \lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{3q}}. \end{aligned}$$