
A remark on the uniqueness of positive
solutions to semilinear elliptic equations
with double power nonlinearities

Shinji Kawano

(Hokkaido/Waseda)

1 Introduction

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + f(u) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n \geq 2, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2},$$

$$u : \mathbb{R}^n \ni x = {}^t(x_1, \dots, x_n) \longrightarrow u(x) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad C^2.$$

Double Power:

$$f(u) = -\omega u + u^p - u^{2p-1},$$

where $p > 1$, $0 < \omega < \frac{p}{(p+1)^2} =: \omega_p$.

Remark 1. (Motivation)

The Non Linear Schroedinger equation

$$\text{(NLS)} \quad i\partial_t\psi + \Delta\psi = -|\psi|^2\psi + |\psi|^4\psi,$$

$$\psi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (t, x) \longrightarrow \psi(t, x) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

denotes **Boson gas interaction**.

Let standing wave solution $\psi(t, x) = e^{i\omega t}u(x)$ with $u > 0$, solves (NLS). Then u solves our elliptic equation with $p = 3$.

2 Known Results

Theorem 1. (*Rad. Sym. of the Sol.*)

For any $p > 1$ and $\omega > 0$, any possible solution to our elliptic problem is radially symmetric with respect to some point.

(Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg '79)

Theorem 2. (*Exist. of Sol.*)

For any $p > 1$ and $0 < \omega < \omega_p$, there exists at least one solution. (Berestycki and Lions '83)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{rrr} + \frac{n-1}{r}u_r - \omega u + u^p - u^{2p-1} = 0 \text{ in } (0, \infty), \\ u > 0 \text{ in } [0, \infty), \\ u_r(0) = 0, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

$$u : [0, \infty) \ni r \longrightarrow u(r) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad C^2.$$

Theorem 3. *(Nature of the rad. sol.)*

$$u' < 0 \text{ in } (0, \infty),$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u'(r) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u'(r)}{u(r)} = -\sqrt{\omega},$$

u has exp. decay at infity.,

(Peletier and Serrin '83)

Remark 2. (Non Exist. of Sol.)

For any $p > 1$ and $\omega \geq \omega_p$, there can not exist a solution. (Peletier and Serrin '83)

Proof. Remark that $\omega \geq \omega_p$ is equivalent to

$F(u) := \int_0^u f(s) \leq 0$ for any $u > 0$.

Assume that there exists a sol. u .

For the Energy

$$E(r) := \frac{u'(r)^2}{2} + F(u(r)),$$

We have

$$E'(r) = u''(r)u'(r) + f(u)u'(r) = -\frac{n-1}{r}u'(r)^2,$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} E(r) = 0.$$

These facts assert $E(0) = F(u(0)) > 0$. □

Theorem 4. *(Uniqueness)*

The solution is unique for ω which is 'sufficiently close' to ω_p with any $p > 1$. (Mizumachi)

Proof. Using perturbation theory. □

Remark 3. Uniqueness of rad. sol. in bounded domain is proved by Ouyang and Shi '98.

3 Main Result

Theorem 5. *The solution is unique for*

$$a_p := \frac{p(7p - 5)}{4(p + 1)(2p - 1)^2} \leq \omega < \omega_p$$

with any $p > 1$.

4 Outline of Proof

Lemma 1. *The condition $a_p \leq \omega$ is equivalent to*

$$\alpha \leq \beta,$$

where $\alpha = \left[\frac{p}{2(2p-1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ is the unique inflection of our nonlinearity f and

$\beta = \left[\frac{p}{p+1} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{(p+1)^2}{p} \omega} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ is the first zero of the integral F .

Lemma 2. *(Peletier and Serrin '83)*

Let f satisfy the following condition;

$$G(u) := \frac{f(u)}{u - \beta} \text{ is nonincreasing in } (\beta, c),$$

where $c = \left[\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\omega}}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ is the second zero of the nonlinearity f .

Then our ODE has exactly one positive solution.

Proof. (of the Theorem.)

It is enough to show that if $\alpha \leq \beta$, then

$$G'(u)(u-\beta)^2 = f'(u)(u-\beta) - f(u) := k(u) \leq 0$$

We calculate the derivative of $k(u)$

$$k'(u) = f''(u)(u - \beta),$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned} f''(u) &> 0 && \text{in } (0, \alpha); \\ f''(u) &< 0 && \text{in } (\alpha, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

So if $\alpha \leq \beta$, then $k'(u) < 0$ in (β, c) ,
i.e. k is decreasing in the interval.

Therefore

$$k(u) < k(\beta) = -f(\beta) < 0 \quad \text{for any } u \in (\beta, c).$$

This completes the proof. □

5 Remark

If $\alpha > \beta$, we need to check that $k(\alpha) \leq 0$, i.e.

$$\frac{\alpha f'(\alpha) - f(\alpha)}{f'(\alpha)} \leq \beta. \quad (1)$$

This condition provides an implicit relation between ω and p . Besides,

Remark 4. The condition (1) does not cover all $\omega \in (0, \omega_p)$. That is for ω close to zero,

$$\frac{\alpha f'(\alpha) - f(\alpha)}{f'(\alpha)} > \beta.$$

Proof. The left hand side is estimated from below as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha f'(\alpha) - f(\alpha)}{f'(\alpha)} &= \frac{(p-1)\alpha^p(1-2\alpha^{p-1})}{-\omega + p\alpha^{p-1} - (2p-1)\alpha^{2(p-1)}} \\ &> \frac{(p-1)\alpha^p(1-2\alpha^{p-1})}{p\alpha^{p-1} - (2p-1)\alpha^{2(p-1)}} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\omega \in (0, \omega_p)$, whereas the right hand side β decreases to zero as ω decreases to zero. \square