

The Kalton-Weis Theorem in Lebesgue spaces

Lorenz v. Below



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Functional calculus

The Kalton-Weis Theorem

Proof

Definition

An operator $A: D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is *sectorial of type σ* if

- ▶ A is injective, densely defined, has dense range,
- ▶ $\sigma(A) \subset \overline{\Sigma}_\sigma := \text{clos}\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |\arg z| < \sigma\}$,
- ▶ $\|\lambda R(\lambda, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Sigma}_\sigma$.

Let $\omega(A) = \inf\{\sigma \in (0, \pi) : A \text{ is sectorial of type } \sigma\}$.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ denote a strongly closed algebra of bounded operators.

Definition

- ▶ $H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)$ – the space of bounded holomorphic functions $f: \Sigma_\sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- ▶ $H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma; \mathcal{A})$ – the space of bounded holomorphic functions $F: \Sigma_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$
- ▶ $H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)$ – the functions $f \in H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)$ with

$$|f(z)| \leq C \left(\frac{|z|}{1 + |z|^2} \right)^\varepsilon$$

for all $z \in \Sigma_\sigma$.

- ▶ $H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma; \mathcal{A})$ analogously
- ▶ analogously for functions on $\Sigma_{\sigma_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{\sigma_m}$

Definition

For a sectorial operator A and $F \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma; \mathcal{A})$ define

$$F(A)u = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\nu} F(z)R(z, A)u \, dz$$

where $\omega(A) < \nu < \pi$, $\Gamma_\nu = \partial\Sigma_\nu$ and A commutes with the elements of \mathcal{A} . Analogously for sectorial operators A_1, \dots, A_m with commuting resolvents and $F \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_{\sigma_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{\sigma_m}; \mathcal{A})$ define

$$F(A_1, \dots, A_m)u = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^m \int_{\Gamma_{\nu_1}} \dots \int_{\Gamma_{\nu_m}} F(z)R(z_1, A) \dots R(z_m, A)u \, dz_m \dots dz_1.$$

Definition

Let A be a sectorial operator on X . We say that A has a bounded $H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)$ -calculus if

$$H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X), \quad f \mapsto f(A)$$

extends to a bounded operator on $H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)$, i. e. if

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C \|f\|_{H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)}$$

holds for all $f \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)$. Let

$$\omega_{H^\infty}(A) = \inf\{\sigma \in (0, \pi) : A \text{ has a bounded } H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)\text{-calculus}\}.$$

Definition

We say that sectorial operators A_1, \dots, A_m with commuting resolvents have a joint bounded H^∞ -calculus if

$$\|f(A_1, \dots, A_m)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C \|f\|_{H^\infty(\Sigma_{\sigma_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{\sigma_m})}$$

holds for all $f \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_{\sigma_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{\sigma_m})$.



Let Ω be a σ -finite measure space and $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem (Kalton-Weis 2001, L^p version)

Let A_1, \dots, A_m be sectorial operators on $L^p(\Omega)$. Then A_1, \dots, A_m have a joint bounded H^∞ -calculus if and only if A_1, \dots, A_m each have a bounded H^∞ -calculus.

Let Ω be a σ -finite measure space and $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem (Kalton-Weis 2001, L^p version)

Let A_1, \dots, A_m be sectorial operators on $L^p(\Omega)$. Then A_1, \dots, A_m have a joint bounded H^∞ -calculus if and only if A_1, \dots, A_m each have a bounded H^∞ -calculus.

Kalton-Weis:

- ▶ General Banach space with certain geometric properties, uses \mathcal{R} -boundedness, relies heavily on geometric assumptions

Now:

- ▶ L^p -spaces, no \mathcal{R} -boundedness, instead square function estimates, elementary

Definition

We say that $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{L}(L^p(\Omega))$ admits square function estimates if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_1, \dots, T_n \in \mathcal{T}$ and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in L^p(\Omega)$ the estimate

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |T_j u_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |u_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

holds. Denote the smallest such $C > 0$ with $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$.

Theorem

Assume

- ▶ A is sectorial on $L^p(\Omega)$ with bounded $H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)$ -calculus
- ▶ $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(L^p(\Omega))$ is a strongly closed algebra of operators commuting with A in the resolvent sense
- ▶ $\mathcal{F} \subset H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma; \mathcal{A})$ such that $\{F(z): z \in \Sigma_\sigma, F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ admits square function estimates.

Then $F(A)$ is bounded for $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\{F(A): F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ admits square function estimates with

$$\mathcal{R}(\{F(A): F \in \mathcal{F}\}) \leq C\mathcal{R}(\{F(z): z \in \Sigma_\sigma, F \in \mathcal{F}\}).$$

For $f \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(A_1, \dots, A_m)\| &\leq CR(\{f(z_1, A_2, \dots, A_m) : z_1 \in \Sigma_{\sigma_1}\}) \\ &\leq CR(\{f(z_1, z_2, A_3, \dots, A_m) : z_j \in \Sigma_{\sigma_j} \text{ for } j = 1, 2\}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq CR(\{f(z_1, \dots, z_m) : z_j \in \Sigma_{\sigma_j} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m\}) \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{H^\infty}.\end{aligned}$$



Proposition

Let A have a bounded $H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)$ -calculus and $F \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma; \mathcal{A})$ for $\omega_{H^\infty}(A) < \sigma < \pi$.
Then

$$F(A)u = \int_1^2 M(t)u \frac{dt}{t}$$

where

$$M(t)u = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pm i(1-s)\nu} F(2^{-k}t^{-1}e^{\pm i\nu}) h_s^{\pm\nu}(2^k tA)^2 u$$

for $\omega_{H^\infty}(A) < \nu < \sigma$, $0 < s < 1$ and $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ with

$$h_s^\rho(z) = z^{s/2}(e^{i\rho} - z)^{-1/2}$$

and for all $(\alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in c_{00}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $t > 0$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k h_s^\rho(2^k tA) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p(\Omega))} \leq C \max_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\alpha_k|.$$

Proposition

Let $(V_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}(L^p(\Omega))$ such that for all $(\alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_k V_k \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p(\Omega))} \leq K \max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\alpha_k|.$$

Then the following estimates hold.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n |V_k u_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} &\leq K \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |u_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left| \sum_{k=1}^n V_k u_{jk} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} &\leq K \left\| \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n |u_{jk}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

1. $\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n v_k u_k \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |u_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$
2. $\left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |v_k u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$
3. $\left\| \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n |v_k u_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |u_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$
4. $\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left| \sum_{k=1}^n v_k u_{jk} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K \left\| \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n |u_{jk}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$



Proposition

Let $(V_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}(L^p(\Omega))$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_k V_k \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p(\Omega))} \leq K \max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\alpha_k|$$

holds for all $(\alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$. Let $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{L}(L^p(\Omega))$ admit square function estimates. Then

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k V_k T_k V_k : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } |\alpha_k| \leq 1, T_k \in \mathcal{T} \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

admits square function estimates with $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})K^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_n \left| \sum_k \alpha_{kn} V_k T_{kn} V_k u_n \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} &\leq K \left\| \left(\sum_{k,n} |\alpha_{kn} T_{kn} V_k u_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{R}(T) K \left\| \left(\sum_{k,n} |V_k u_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{R}(T) K^2 \left\| \left(\sum_n |u_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

For $\mathcal{F} \subset H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma; \mathcal{A})$:

- ▶ $F(A)u = \int_1^2 M(F)(t)u \frac{dt}{t}$ with $M(F)(t)u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F(2^{-k}t^{-1}e^{\pm i\nu})V_k(t)^2u$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{R}(\{M(F)(t) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}) \leq CR(\mathcal{F})$
- ▶ $\mathcal{R}(\{F(A) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}) \leq CR(\mathcal{F})$

General case $\mathcal{F} \subset H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma; \mathcal{A})$ via approximation. □

- ▶ Theorems of Dore-Venni type
- ▶ H^∞ implies maximal regularity if $\omega_{H^\infty} < \frac{\pi}{2}$
- ▶ \mathcal{R} -sectoriality implies maximal regularity if $\omega_{H^\infty} < \frac{\pi}{2}$
- ▶ Mixed Derivative Theorem
- ▶ ...



Thank you for your attention!