

Construction of a weak solution of a certain stochastic Navier Stokes equation

Satoshi Yokoyama

2011.11.29

This talk is organized as

1. Introduction

- Motivation, the equation derived from the variational problem

2. Stochastic Navier-Stokes equation

- Main result

3. Outline of the proof

We study $u = u(t, x) = (u^1(t, x), u^2(t, x))$, $t \geq 0$, $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and the pressure term $p = p(t, x)$ on a two-dimensional torus \mathbf{T}^2 :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla) u + \sqrt{2\mu} \nabla u \cdot \dot{B}(t) - \mu \Delta u + \nabla p = 0, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbf{T}^2, \quad (1)$$

with the incompressibility condition:

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbf{T}^2, \quad (2)$$

under the initial condition:

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{T}^2, \quad (3)$$

where $\mu > 0$ is a constant and $\dot{B}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}B(t)$ is a formal derivative of the two dimensional Brownian motion $B(t) = (B^1(t), B^2(t))$.

1. Introduction

Background :

Inoue, A., Funaki, T., On a new derivation of the Navier-Stokes equation. Comm. Math. Phys. **65** (1979).

Variational problem;

$\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n)$: the set of volume preserving diffeomorphisms of \mathbf{R}^n .

$\{\Phi(t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$: 1-parameter group with values in $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Let Ψ^0 and $\Psi^1 \in \text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be given and

$$\Phi(t, x) = (\Phi_1(t, x), \dots, \Phi_n(t, x)), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

be an integral curve which takes values in $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. A stationary point $\tilde{\Phi}$ of the following action functional J :

$$J(\Phi) := \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial t}(t, x) \right|^2 dx dt,$$

satisfying $\Phi(0) = \Psi^0$ and $\Phi(1) = \Psi^1$.

Stationary point of J :

$$\tilde{\Phi}(t, x) := (\tilde{\Phi}_1(t, x), \dots, \tilde{\Phi}_n(t, x)),$$

Velocity field u defined by

$$(u^1(t, \tilde{\Phi}(t, x)), \dots, u^n(t, \tilde{\Phi}(t, x))) = \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}_1}{\partial t}(t, x), \dots, \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}_n}{\partial t}(t, x) \right),$$

that is,

$$\underline{(u^1(t, x), \dots, u^n(t, x)) = \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}_1}{\partial t}(t, \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(x)), \dots, \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}_n}{\partial t}(t, \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(x)) \right)},$$

Then, u satisfies the Euler equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = 0 & t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, & t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The case where a fluctuation is added to the "Euler flow" $\Phi(t)$:

$$\Phi(t, x) \implies \underline{\Phi(t, x) + \sqrt{2\mu}B(t, \omega)} \quad \text{"} \leftarrow \text{random force"}$$

Let us consider the following Random action functional:

$$J_B(\Phi)(\omega) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial t}(t, x) + \sqrt{2\mu} \frac{dB^j}{dt}(t, \omega) \right|^2 dx dt,$$

where $\mu > 0$, $\Phi(0) = \Psi^0(\omega)$ and $\Phi(1) = \Psi^1(\omega)$ and $B = (B^1, \dots, B^n)$ is an n -dimensional Brownian motion defined on some probability space.

Remark 1. $J_B(\Phi)$ is formally defined for each ω . B_t is not differentiable at any $t > 0$.

Stationary point of J_B (for each ω) $\bar{\Phi}_B(t, x, \omega)$ is related by

$$\tilde{\Phi}(t, x, \omega) = \bar{\Phi}_B(t, x, \omega) + \sqrt{2\mu}B(t, \omega),$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}$ is the stationary point of the "Euler flow".

$$\bar{\Phi}_B(t, x, \omega) = \tilde{\Phi}(t, x, \omega) - \sqrt{2\mu}B(t, \omega),$$

Set $x = \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(t, y)$. Then,

$$\bar{\Phi}_B(t, \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(t, x), \omega) = \tilde{\Phi}(t, \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(t, x), \omega) - \sqrt{2\mu}B(t, \omega),$$

Thus, random velocity field is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(t, x, \omega) &:= \frac{d}{dt} \bar{\Phi}_B(t, \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(t, x), \omega) \\ &= u(t, x) - \sqrt{2\mu}\dot{B}(t, \omega), \end{aligned}$$

where u is the solution of the Euler equation.

Thus, we arrive at

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial(\bar{u}(t, x) + \sqrt{2\mu}\dot{B}_t)}{\partial t} + \nabla \bar{u}(t, x) \circ (\bar{u}(t, x) + \sqrt{2\mu}\dot{B}_t) + \nabla p(t, x) = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} \bar{u}(t, x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where \circ means the Stratonovich sense.

Stratonovich integral

For $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ and $\{B^\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ the family of smooth approximation of B , (e.g. $B^\epsilon(t) = (B * \rho_\epsilon)(t)$, $\int_0^\infty \rho_\epsilon dt = 1$, $\rho_\epsilon \geq 0$, $\text{supp} \rho_\epsilon \subset (t - \epsilon, t + \epsilon)$).

$$\int_0^t f(B^\epsilon(s)) \dot{B}^\epsilon(s) ds \rightarrow \int_0^t f(B(s)) \circ dB(s), \quad \text{uniformly in } t \in [0, T]$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The term of $\ddot{B}(t)$

For $\phi \in C_{\sigma,0}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sqrt{2\mu} \ddot{B}(t) \cdot \phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sqrt{2\mu} \nabla(x \cdot \ddot{B}(t)) \cdot \phi(x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sqrt{2\mu} (x \cdot \ddot{B}(t)) \text{div} \phi(x) dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Thus the term $\sqrt{2\mu} \ddot{B}(t)$ is disregarded. In consequence,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \bar{u}(t, x)}{\partial t} + (\bar{u}(t, x) \cdot \nabla) \bar{u}(t, x) + \sqrt{2\mu} \nabla \bar{u}(t, x) \circ \dot{B}_t + \nabla p(t, x) = 0, \\ \text{div} \bar{u}(t, x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

(5)

From a Stratonovich integral to an Itô integral

$$\underbrace{\int_0^t \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(s) \circ dB^j(s)}_{\text{Stratonovich}} = \underbrace{\int_0^t \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(s) dB^j(s)}_{\text{Itô, (martingale)}} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \langle M_{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}}, B^j \rangle \rangle(t), \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $M_{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}}$ denotes the martingale part determined uniquely by the decomposition of the process $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}$ and $\langle \langle M_{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}}, B^j \rangle \rangle$ the quadratic variation of $M_{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}}$ and B^j . Integral form of (5):

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(t, x) &= \bar{u}(0, x) - \int_0^t (\bar{u}(s, x) \cdot \nabla) \bar{u}(s, x) ds \\ &\quad - \sqrt{2\mu} \int_0^t \nabla \bar{u}(s, x) \circ dB_s - \int_0^t \nabla p(t, x) ds, \\ &= \bar{u}(0, x) - \int_0^t (\bar{u}(s, x) \cdot \nabla) \bar{u}(s, x) ds \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\sqrt{2\mu} \int_0^t \nabla \bar{u}(s, x) \cdot dB_s}_{M_{\bar{u}}(t)} - \frac{\sqrt{2\mu}}{2} \langle \langle M_{\nabla \bar{u}}, B \rangle \rangle(t) - \int_0^t \nabla p(t, x) ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$M_{\nabla\bar{u}}(t) = -\sqrt{2\mu} \int_0^t \Delta\bar{u}(s, x) \cdot dB_s,$$

Note that $dB_s^i dB_s^j = \delta_{ij} dt$. Thus,

$$\langle\langle M_{\nabla\bar{u}}, B \rangle\rangle(t) = -\sqrt{2\mu} \int_0^t \Delta\bar{u}(s, x) ds,$$

We arrive at the following stochastic Navier-Stokes equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial\bar{u}}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\bar{u}^j \frac{\partial\bar{u}}{\partial x_j} + \sqrt{2\mu} \frac{\partial\bar{u}}{\partial x_j} \dot{B}_t^j \right) - \mu \Delta\bar{u} + \nabla p(t, x) = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} \bar{u} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Assume that the existence of the solution \bar{u} is shown.

Reynolds equation

Let us set $\langle \bar{u} \rangle(t, x) = \int_{\Omega} \bar{u}(t, x, \omega) P(d\omega)$. Then $\langle \bar{u} \rangle$ satisfies the Reynolds equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \langle \bar{u} \rangle}{\partial t} + (\langle \bar{u} \rangle \cdot \nabla) \langle \bar{u} \rangle - \mu \Delta \langle \bar{u} \rangle + \nabla \langle p \rangle = -\langle (\bar{u} - \langle \bar{u} \rangle) \cdot \nabla (\bar{u} - \langle \bar{u} \rangle) \rangle, \\ \operatorname{div} \langle \bar{u} \rangle = 0. \end{cases}$$

This is easily shown by using the fact that the term of the Ito stochastic integral is martingale, that is, its expectation is equal to zero. However, the existence of the weak solution of (6) is not shown in [I-F].

\implies We want to prove the existence.

The weak form of (6)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(t, x) \cdot \phi(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) \cdot \phi(x) dx & (7) \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u(s, x) \cdot \nabla) \phi(x) \cdot u(s, x) ds dx \\
&+ \sqrt{2\mu} \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(s, x) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) dx \right) \cdot dB_s + \mu \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(s, x) \cdot \Delta \phi(x) ds dx,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathbf{C}_{0,\sigma}^\infty$ and $t \geq 0$, where

$$\mathbf{C}_{0,\sigma}^\infty = \{ \phi \in \mathbf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \mid \operatorname{div} \phi = 0 \}.$$

Note that the term containing $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ vanishes because

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}(t, x) \phi^i(x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(t, x) \operatorname{div} \phi(x) dx = 0,$$

holds. However, it is difficult to show the existence of (6) if $n \geq 3$. In our case, \mathbf{T}^2 : 2-dimensional torus.

2. Stochastic Navier-Stokes equation in \mathbb{T}^2 .

Notations

$$\mathbf{C}_\sigma^\infty = \left\{ u \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \mid \operatorname{div} u = 0, \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} u dx = 0 \right\},$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \left\{ u \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \mid \operatorname{div} u = 0, \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} u dx = 0 \right\},$$

The inner product of \mathbf{H} :

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} u^j(x) v^j(x) dx, \quad u, v \in \mathbf{H},$$

and the norm of \mathbf{H} : $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{H}}$.

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap \mathbf{H}.$$

The inner product of \mathbf{V} :

$$\langle\langle u, v \rangle\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^2 \left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right\rangle, \quad u, v \in \mathbf{V},$$

and the norm of \mathbf{V} : $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{V}}$.

An abstract SDE

$$\begin{cases} du(t) + \{Au(t) + B(u(t), u(t))\} dt + Gu(t)dB_t = 0, & t > 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$D(A) = \mathbf{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap \mathbf{V}$ such that

$$A : D(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}, \quad Au = -\mu\mathbb{P}\Delta u,$$

where \mathbb{P} is the Lelay projection, $(\lambda_j)_{j=1,2,\dots}$ its eigenvalues and $(e_j)_{j=1,2,\dots}$ the corresponding eigenfunctions. Note that $e_j \in \mathbf{C}_\sigma^\infty$, $\forall j$ and $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$.

$$B : \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}', \quad B(v, w) = \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla)w,$$

where \mathbf{V}' is the dual space of \mathbf{V} .

$$G : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{\text{H.S}}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbf{H}), \quad Gv = \sqrt{2\mu}\mathbb{P}\nabla v,$$

where $\mathbf{L}_{\text{H.S}}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbf{H})$ denotes the family of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbf{H} .

Definition 1. We say that $\{u(t), B(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a weak solution of the stochastic Navier-Stokes equation (8) with the initial value u_0 if

1. $\{u(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an adapted process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, P)$.
2. $u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}) \cap L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{H})$, P -a.s. for $T > 0$.
3. $\{B(t), \{\mathcal{F}_t\}\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a two-dimensional Brownian motion on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .
4. For every $T > 0$ and $\phi \in C_\sigma^\infty$, P -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u(t), \phi \rangle - \langle u_0, \phi \rangle = & \\ & - \int_0^t \langle A^* \phi, u(s) \rangle ds + \int_0^t \langle B(u(s), \phi), u(s) \rangle ds - \int_0^t (Gu(s))^* \phi dB(s), \end{aligned}$$

holds for a.e.- $t \in [0, T]$.

Weak solution means

1. weak form: $\int u(t, x)\phi(x)dx,$

2. martingale solution: $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ is a part of the solution, that is, $((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\mathcal{F}_t)), u, B)$ is the solution:

(see G. Da Prato., J. Zabczyk, Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions, 1992, Chapter 8.)

Main result (Y. 2011)

Theorem 1. *There exists a weak solution $\{u(t), B(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of the stochastic Navier-Stokes equation (8) with the initial value $u_0 \in \mathbf{V}$.*

The properties of (6)

$$du(t) + \{Au(t) + B(u(t), u(t))\} dt + Gu(t)dB_t = 0,$$

For a suitable bounded set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$2\langle Au(t), u(t) \rangle - |Gu(t)|_{L_{H.S}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(D))}^2 = 0, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (9)$$

holds. By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)|_{L^2}^2 - |u_0|_{L^2}^2 &= 2 \int_0^t \langle u(s), du(s) \rangle ds + \int_0^t \langle du(s), du(s) \rangle \\ &= -2 \int_0^t \langle u(s), Au(s) \rangle ds - 2 \int_0^t \langle u(s), B(u(s), u(s)) \rangle ds \\ &\quad - 2 \left\langle \int_0^t Gu(s) \cdot dB_s, u(s) \right\rangle + \int_0^t |Gu(s)|_{L_{H.S}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(D))}^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $u_0 \in L^2(D)$, $\exists C > 0$,

$$\mathbf{E} \left\{ |u(t)|_{L^2}^2 \right\} \leq C.$$

The condition (9) means that the term containing $\underline{|u(t)|_{H^1}^2}$ vanishes.

Difficulty

Let $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of solutions of equations by Galerkin's argument. $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are bounded in $L^2(0, T; L^2(D))$. It follows that $\exists u_{n(k)}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and \bar{u} such that $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ weakly in $L^2(0, T; L^2(D))$.

However,

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\int_0^t \langle (u_{n(k)}(s) \cdot \nabla)\phi, u_{n(k)}(s) \rangle ds\right\} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}\left\{\int_0^t \langle (\bar{u}(s) \cdot \nabla)\phi, \bar{u}(s) \rangle ds\right\}$$

is not true.

\implies The uniform estimate with respect to stronger topology such as $L^2(0, T; H^1(D))$ is needed.

Coercivity condition

$$2\langle Au, u \rangle - |Gu|_{L_{H.S}(\mathbb{R}^n, L^2(D))}^2 \geq \delta |\nabla u|_{L^2(D)}^2,$$

for some $\delta \in (0, 2]$, $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ and $\rho \geq 0$.

There are several results about the existence of the weak solution of equations satisfying the coercivity condition:

F. Flandoli and D. Gatarek, 1995.

bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n .

M. Capinski and S. Peszat, 2001

\mathbb{R}^n ($n = 2, 3$) or bounded domain with smooth $G(\cdot)$ and $\|G(u(t))\|_{L_{H.S}} \leq C(1 + |u(t)|_{L^2})$.

R. Mikulevicius and B.L. Rozovskii, 2005

\mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) or bounded domain,

Z. Brezezniak and S. Peszat, 2001

$n = 2$. They assume for a certain $c \in L^1(0, T)$ such that

$$|G(u)|_{L_{HS}(\mathbf{R}^n, H^1)} \leq C(t)(1 + |\nabla u|^2)$$

→ the case of $G(u) = \nabla u$ is not included.

3. Outline of the proof

Our strategy

1. Consider $\frac{2+\delta}{2}\mu\Delta$ instead of $\mu\Delta$ and construct the strong solution u_n^δ , for each $\delta > 0$ by Galerkin's argument:

$$\sup_n \left\{ \mathbf{E} \left\{ \|u_n^\delta(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 \right\} + \delta\mu \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \left\{ \|u_n^\delta(s)\|_{\mathbf{V}}^2 \right\} ds \right\} < \infty.$$

2. Obtain the uniform estimate with respect to $\delta > 0$, $n \geq 1$
3. Construct u^δ for each $\delta > 0$.
4. Take $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and find the solution u .

Step 1 : Finite dimensional equation,

Step 2 : A priori estimate,

Step 3 : tightness,

Step 4 : taking a limit.

Step 1: Finite dimensional equation.

We can expand $u_n^\delta(t) \in \mathbf{H}_n(:= \Pi_n \mathbf{H})$ as $u_n^\delta(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{\delta,n}(t) e_j$, where $u_j^{\delta,n}(t) = \langle u_n^\delta(t), e_j \rangle$. Then,

$$u_j^{\delta,n}(t) = \langle \Pi_n u_0, e_j \rangle + \int_0^t F_j^{\delta,n}(u_n^\delta(s)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma_j^n(u_n^\delta(s)) dB_s, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

where $F_j^{\delta,n}(u_n) = -\langle A_\delta u_n + \Pi_n B(u_n, u_n), e_j \rangle$ and $\sigma_j^n(u_n) = -(\Pi_n G u_n)^* e_j$ for $u_n \in \mathbf{H}_n$. We have

$$|\sigma_j^n(u_n) - \sigma_j^n(v_n)|_{\mathbf{R}^2} \leq C_1 \|u_n - v_n\|_{\mathbf{V}},$$

$$|F_j^{\delta,n}(u_n) - F_j^{\delta,n}(v_n)| \leq C_1 \|u_n - v_n\|_{\mathbf{V}},$$

for every $u_n, v_n \in \mathbf{H}_n$ with some $C_1 = C_1(\delta, n) > 0$. Therefore, for any $\delta > 0$, $n \geq 1$ and $T > 0$, we see that there exists a unique strong solution $u_n^\delta \in C([0, T]; \mathbf{H}_n)$, a.s.

Step 2: A priori estimate.

By applying Itô's formula to $\|u_n^\delta(t)\|_{\mathbf{V}}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_n^\delta(t)\|_{\mathbf{V}}^2 - \|u_n^\delta(0)\|_{\mathbf{V}}^2 \\ & \leq -\delta\mu \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_0^t \|\partial_k u_n^\delta(s)\|_{\mathbf{V}}^2 ds - 2 \int_0^t \langle \langle u_n^\delta(s), \Pi_n(u_n^\delta(s) \cdot \nabla u_n^\delta(s)) \rangle \rangle ds \\ & \quad + (\text{martingale}) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$, a.s. for $T > 0$.

Note that

$$\langle \langle u_n^\delta(s), \Delta u_n^\delta(s) \rangle \rangle = - \sum_{k=1}^2 \|\partial_k u_n^\delta(s)\|_{\mathbf{V}}^2.$$

Furthermore,

$$\langle \langle u_n^\delta(s), \Pi_n(u_n^\delta(s) \cdot \nabla u_n^\delta(s)) \rangle \rangle = 0,$$

holds, This is shown by using the fact u is written to $u = \nabla^\perp \phi$ with ϕ a scalar function in the case of \mathbf{T}^2 , where $\nabla^\perp = (\partial x_2, -\partial x_1)$. Thus,

if $u_0 \in \mathbf{V}$,

$$\sup_{n \geq 1, \delta > 0} \mathbf{E}^P \left\{ \|u_n^\delta(t)\|_{\mathbf{V}}^2 \right\} < \infty,$$

in particular,

$$\sup_{n \geq 1, \delta > 0} \mathbf{E}^P \left\{ \int_0^T \|u_n^\delta(t)\|_{\mathbf{V}}^2 dt \right\} < \infty,$$

hold for each $T > 0$.

Step 3: tightness.

Chebyshev's inequality:

Let $(E, |\cdot|)$ be a separable metric space and $(X_n)_n$ E -valued random variables. Then,

$$\mathbf{P}(X_n \in (B_R)^c) \leq \frac{1}{R} \mathbf{E}\{|X_n|\}.$$

holds, where $B_R = \{x : |x| < R\}$.

tightness:

A sequence of the probability law of $(X_n)_n$ is tight if, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $K_\epsilon \subset E$ such that

$$\mathbf{P}(X_n \in K) \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

Prohorov's theorem:

A family of the probability law of $(X_n)_n$, which is denoted by $\{\mathcal{L}(X_n)\}_n$ is tight if and only if $\{\mathcal{L}(X_n)\}_n$ is relatively compact, ($\exists \{\mathcal{L}(X_{n(k)})\}_k$, $\bar{\mu}$ s.t. $\langle f, \mathcal{L}(X_{n(k)}) \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, \bar{\mu} \rangle$ for any continuous and bounded f .)

compactness:

Let $E_1 \subset\subset E \subset E_2$ and E_1, E_2 be reflexive Banach space. $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p > 1$ given. Then, any bounded set in $L^2(0, T; E_1) \cap W^{\alpha, p}(0, T; E_2)$ is relative compact in $L^2(0, T; E)$.

We need to estimate

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\int_0^T \|u_n^\delta(s)\|_{\mathbf{V}}^2 ds\right\},$$
$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\|u_n^\delta\|_{\mathbf{W}^{\alpha, 2}(0, T; \mathbf{V}')}\right\},$$

where u_n^δ is the unique strong solution of the finite dimensional SDE and $\mathbf{W}^{\alpha, 2}(0, T; E)$ the fractional Sobolev space.

\implies We obtain the tightness in $L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H})$.

Step 4: taking a limit.

Skorohod embedding theorem:

Set $\Omega_T = L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}) \cap W^{\alpha, 2}([0, T]; \mathbf{V}')$, the coordinate process $\xi(t, w) = w(t)$, $w \in \Omega_T$. There exist another probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbf{P}})$ $L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H})$ -valued random variables $\{X_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, X such that $\mathcal{L}(u^{\delta_k}) = \mathcal{L}(X_k)$, $\bar{\mu} = \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ -a.s., $X_k \rightarrow X$ in $L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H})$ holds.

The unbounded case.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla) u + \sqrt{2\mu} \nabla u \cdot \dot{B}(t) - \mu \Delta u + \nabla p = 0, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

Let us set

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{u \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \mid \operatorname{div} u = 0\},$$

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \mathbf{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Theorem 2. (*W. Stannat, Y, 2011*) *There exists a weak solution with the initial value $u_0 \in \mathbf{V}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with compact support.*

- an a priori estimate for a sequence of periodic solutions defined on $[-l, l]^2$, $l \in \mathbf{N}$,
- the cutoff argument

Thank you for listening.