

Analytical Aspects of Complex Fluids

Matthias Geissert

Techn. Universität Darmstadt/Univ. of Hannover
Germany

The 5th Japanese-German International Workshop on
Mathematical Fluid Dynamics
Jun. 2012

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
 - The Helmholtz decomposition
 - The Stokes operator
 - Generalized Newtonian Fluids
 - Embeddings
 - Transport Equation
- 3 Viscoelastic Fluids
 - Large data
 - Small data
 - Further/Related Results
- 4 \mathcal{H}^∞ -calculus for the Stokes Operator

The problem (NS)

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u) &= f + \operatorname{div} T(u) - \nabla \pi && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\ u &= 0, && \text{on } J \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0) &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

Here:

- u velocity of the fluid, π pressure of the fluid,
- u_0 initial velocity of the fluid, f extra body force,
- ρ density, $J = (0, T)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ domain.
- $T(u) =$ extra stress tensor.

Newtonian Fluids/Navier-Stokes equations

We set

$$T(u) := T_N(u) = \mu Du$$

Then,

$$\operatorname{div} T(u) = \Delta u.$$

Here:

- $\mu > 0$ viscosity,
- $Du = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T)$.

Generalized Newtonian Fluids

We set

$$T(u) := T_{GN}(u) = \mu(|Du|_2^2) Du$$

Here,

- μ viscosity function.

Examples:

- *Power-Law*: $\mu(|D\tilde{u}|_2^2) = \mu_0(1 + |D\tilde{u}|_2^2)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}$, $\mu_0 > 0$, $d \geq 1$.

Generalized Newtonian Fluids

We set

$$T(u) := T_{GN}(u) = \mu(|Du|_2^2) Du$$

Here,

- μ viscosity function.

Examples:

- *Power-Law*: $\mu(|D\tilde{u}|_2^2) = \mu_0(1 + |D\tilde{u}|_2^2)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}$, $\mu_0 > 0$, $d \geq 1$.

Generalized Viscoelastic Fluids

We set

$$T(u) := T_{GN}(u) + \tau,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tau + (u \cdot \nabla) \tau + b\tau &= g(\nabla u, \tau) && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\ \tau(0) &= \tau_0 && \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Here:

- τ : elastic part of the stress,

Examples

- *Oldroyd-B fluids*: $\mu > 0$,

$$g(\nabla u, \tau) = \beta Du - \tau Wu + Wu\tau + a(Du\tau + \tau Du)$$

for $\beta > 0$, $-1 \leq a \leq 1$ and $Wu = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u - \nabla u^T)$,

- *Generalized Oldroyd-B*: Replace constant β by $\beta(|Du|^2)$,
- *White-Metzner*: $\mu > 0$, $b = 0$, and

$$g(\nabla u, \tau) = \beta(|Du|^2)Du + \gamma(|Du|^2)\tau - \tau Wu + Wu\tau + a(Du\tau + \tau Du)$$

for some functions β and γ .

The Helmholtz projection

- Let $1 < q < \infty$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain.
- We say that the *Helmholtz decomposition* exists if

$$L^q(\Omega)^n = L_\sigma^q(\Omega) \oplus G_q(\Omega),$$

where

$$G_q(\Omega) := \{g \in L^q(\Omega)^n : \exists h \in \widehat{W}^{1,q}(\Omega) \text{ such that } g = \nabla h\},$$

$$L_\sigma^q(\Omega) := \{f \in L^q(\Omega)^n : \int_\Omega f \nabla \varphi = 0, \varphi \in \widehat{W}^{1,q'}(\Omega)\}$$

In this case there exists the *Helmholtz projection*

$$P_q : L^q(\Omega)^n \rightarrow L_\sigma^q(\Omega)$$

and (under mild assumption on $\partial\Omega$)

$$L_\sigma^q(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)^n : \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0\}^{\|\cdot\|_{L^q(\Omega)}}.$$

Existence of the Helmholtz projection

- Let $1 < q < \infty$. Then the Helmholtz projection exists on $L^q(\Omega)^n$, where
 - $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n, \Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$,
 - Ω bounded with smooth boundary,
 - Ω exterior domain with smooth boundary,
 - Ω layer,
 - ...
- The Helmholtz projection exists $L^q(\Omega)^n \cap L^2(\Omega)^n$,
 $2 < q < \infty$, or $L^q(\Omega)^n + L^2(\Omega)^n$, $1 < q < 2$, Ω uniform C^1 .

Contributors: Farwig, Fujiwara, Kozono, Miyakawa, Morimoto, Simader, Sohr, Thäter, von Wahl, Weyl, ...

Existence of the Helmholtz projection II

The Helmholtz projection exists on $L^q(\Omega)^n$, where

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, bounded Lipschitz domain and $q \in (\frac{3}{2} - \varepsilon, 3 + \varepsilon)$, Fabes, Mendez and Mitrea.
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ 'unbounded wedge' (smooth and non smooth), q depends on angle, Bogovskii.

Remark

The results above are sharp.

Existence of the Helmholtz projection II

The Helmholtz projection exists on $L^q(\Omega)^n$, where

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, bounded Lipschitz domain and $q \in (\frac{3}{2} - \varepsilon, 3 + \varepsilon)$, Fabes, Mendez and Mitrea.
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ 'unbounded wedge' (smooth and non smooth), q depends on angle, Bogovskii.

Remark

The results above are sharp.

The Stokes operator

Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain such that the Helmholtz projection exists. Set

$$D(A_q) = W^{2,q}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \cap L^q_\sigma(\Omega)$$

and define the *Stokes operator*

$$A_q : \begin{cases} D(A_q) & \rightarrow L^q_\sigma(\Omega), \\ u & \mapsto P_q \Delta u. \end{cases}$$

Maximal Regularity

We say that A_q has *maximal L^p -regularity in $L^q_\sigma(\Omega)$* if for

$$f \in L^p(J; L^q_\sigma(\Omega))$$

there exists a unique

$$u \in W^{1,p}(J; L^q_\sigma(\Omega)) \cap L^p(J; D(A_q))$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) - A_q u(t) &= f(t), & t \in J, \\ u(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Known results on the Stokes operator

- Let $1 < p, q < \infty$. Then A_q has maximal L^p -regularity in $L_\sigma^q(\Omega)$, where
 - $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n, \Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$,
 - Ω bounded with smooth boundary,
 - Ω exterior domain with smooth boundary,
 - Ω layer,
 - ...
- A_q has maximal L^p -regularity on $L_\sigma^q(\Omega) \cap L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$, $2 < q < \infty$, or $L^q\sigma(\Omega) + L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$, $1 < q < 2$, uniform C^2 .
- Helmholtz exists +(suitable decomposition of pressure) \Rightarrow A_q has maximal L^p -regularity on $L_\sigma^q(\Omega)$

Contributors: Amann, Abels, Borchers, Desch, Farwig, Fujita, Fujiwara, Galdi, Giga, Grubb, Hieber, Hishida, Kato, Masuda, Miyakawa, Morimoto, Prüss, Shibata, Shimizu, Simader, Sohr, Solonnikov, Ukai, Varnhorn, Wiegner ...

Maximal L^p -Regularity

In this case, for $f \in L^p(J; L^q(\Omega)^n)$ and $u_0 \in (L^q_\sigma(\Omega), D(A_q))_{1-\frac{1}{p}, p}$ there exists a unique

$$(u, \pi) \in X_u(T) \times X_\pi(T),$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi &= f && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0 && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } J \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0, \cdot) &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$X_u(T) := W^{1,p}(J; L^q_\sigma(\Omega)) \cap L^p(J; W^{2,q}(\Omega)), \quad X_\pi(T) := L^p(J; \widehat{W}^{1,q}(\Omega)).$$

Maximal L^p -Regularity

In this case, for $f \in L^p(J; L^q(\Omega)^n)$ and $u_0 \in (L_\sigma^q(\Omega), D(A_q))_{1-\frac{1}{p}, p}$ there exists a unique

$$(u, \pi) \in X_u(T) \times X_\pi(T),$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi &= f && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0 && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } J \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0, \cdot) &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\|u\|_{X_u(T)} + \|\pi\|_{Y_u(T)} \leq C \left(\|f\|_{T,p,q} + \|u_0\|_{(L_\sigma^q(\Omega), D(A_q))_{1-\frac{1}{p}, p}} \right), \quad T \in (0, T_0).$$

Proposition (WMR)

Let

- $p, q, q' \in (1, < \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$, $T \in (0, T_0)$,
- Ω uniformly C^2 -domain,
- $P_r : L_r(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{r,\sigma}(\Omega)$ exists for $r \in \{q, q'\}$,
- $\lambda + A_r \in BIP(\theta)$, $\theta < \pi/2$ for $r \in \{q, q'\}$ and for some $\lambda \geq 0$

Then for $u_0 = 0$ and $f = \operatorname{div} F$, $F \in L_p(0, T; H_q^1(\Omega))$ the unique solution $(u, \pi) \in X_u \times X_\pi$ satisfies

$$\|u\|_{Y_u(T)} \leq C \|F\|_{T,p,q},$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of F and T , $T \in (0, T_0)$.

Here:

$$Y_u(T) := H^{1/2,p}(0, T; L^q(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0, T; H^{1,q}(\Omega)).$$

Generalized Newtonian Fluids

Proposition

Let

- $p > n + 2$,
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *bounded, class $C^{2,1}$,*
- $\mu \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ *satisfying*

$$\mu(s) > 0, \quad \mu(s) + 2s\mu'(s) > 0, \quad s \geq 0,$$

Then, for $f \in L^p(0, T, L^p(\Omega))$, $u_0 \in W^{2-2/p, p}(\Omega)$ satisfying $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, there exists a unique solution $(u, \pi) \in X_u(T) \times Y_u(T)$ of (NS) with $T(u) = T_{GN(u)}$.

Proposition

Let

- $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ satisfy $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{n}{2q} < \frac{1}{2}$, $T_0 > 0$,
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ uniform C^2 -domain.

Then for $T \in (0, T_0)$

$$X_u(T) \hookrightarrow L_\infty(0, T; W_\infty^1(\Omega)) \cap L_\infty(0, T; L_q(\Omega))$$

$$Y_u(T) \hookrightarrow L_\infty(0, T; L_\infty(\Omega)).$$

Moreover, if $u(0) = 0$

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(0, T; W_\infty^1(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{T, \infty, q} \leq C \|u\|_{X_u(T)}, \quad T \in (0, T_0], \quad u \in X_u(T),$$

$$\|u\|_{T, \infty, \infty} \leq C \|u\|_{Y_u(T)}, \quad T \in (0, T_0], \quad u \in Y_u(T).$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tau + (u \cdot \nabla) \tau + b \tau &= g && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\ \tau(0) &= \tau_0 && \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for

- $1 < p < \infty, n < q < \infty, T \in (0, T_0)$,
- $u \in X_u(T)$ such that $u \cdot \nu = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $b \geq 0$,
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a uniform C^2 -domain.

We set

$$\begin{aligned} X_\tau(T) &:= L^\infty(0, T; H^{1,q}(\Omega)), \\ Y_\tau(T) &:= L^\infty(0, T; L^q(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tau + (u \cdot \nabla) \tau + b \tau &= g && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\ \tau(0) &= \tau_0 && \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for

- $1 < p < \infty, n < q < \infty, T \in (0, T_0)$,
- $u \in X_u(T)$ such that $u \cdot \nu = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $b \geq 0$,
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a uniform C^2 -domain.

We set

$$\begin{aligned} X_\tau(T) &:= L^\infty(0, T; H^{1,q}(\Omega)), \\ Y_\tau(T) &:= L^\infty(0, T; L^q(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition

For

- $g \in L^1(0, T; H^{1,q}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^q(\Omega))$, $\tau_0 \in H^{1,q}(\Omega)$

there exists a unique solution $\tau \in X_\tau(T) \cap W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^q(\Omega))$ of (1) such that

$$\|\tau\|_{X_\tau(T)} \leq C_1 \left(\|\tau_0\|_{H^{1,q}(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{L^1(0,T;H^{1,q}(\Omega))} \right) e^{C_1 T^{1-1/p} \|u\|_{L^p(0,T;H^{2,q}(\Omega))}}.$$

Moreover,

$$\|\tau\|_{Y_\tau(T)} \leq C_2 (\|\tau_0\|_q + \|g\|_{T,1,q}) e^{C_2 \|\operatorname{div} \tilde{u}\|_{L^1(0,T;H^{1,q}(\Omega))}}.$$

Here:

- C_1, C_2 independent of g, τ_0, u and $T \in (0, T_0)$,

Large initial data: Part I

Theorem ([Nes12])

Let

- $p > n + 2$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ bounded, class $C^{2,1}$, $g \in C^1$,
- $\mu \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying

$$\mu(s) > 0, \quad \mu(s) + 2s\mu'(s) > 0, \quad s \geq 0.$$

Then, for $f \in L^p(0, T, L^p(\Omega))$, $u_0 \in W^{2-2/p, p}(\Omega)$ satisfying $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $\tau_0 \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ there exists a unique solution

$$(u, \pi, \tau) \in X_u(T) \times Y_u(T) \times (X_\tau(T) \cap W^{1, \infty}(0, T; L^q(\Omega)))$$

of (NS) with $T(u) = T_V(u)$.

Idea of proof: Large data I

Rewrite as fixed point problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t u - \operatorname{div} T_{GN}(u) + \nabla \pi &= f - (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{u} + \operatorname{div} \tilde{\tau} && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\
 \operatorname{div} u &= 0 && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\
 \partial_t \tau + (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla) \tau + b\tau &= g(\nabla \tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau}) && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\
 u &= 0, && \text{on } J \times \partial\Omega, \\
 u(0) &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\
 \tau(0) &= \tau_0 && \text{in } \Omega.
 \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\Phi : \begin{cases} X_u^A(T_0) \times X_\tau(T_0) & \rightarrow X_u^A(T_0) \times X_\tau(T_0) \\ (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau}) & \mapsto (u, \tau) \end{cases}.$$

Here:

$$X_u^A(T) := X_u(T) \cap L^p(0, T; D(A_q))$$

Fixed point argument: Large data I

We set

$$K_u(T, R_1) := \{u \in X_u^A(T) : u(0) = u_0, \|u - u_*\|_{X_u(T)} \leq R_1\}$$

$$K_\tau(T, R_2) := \{\tau \in X_\tau(T) : \tau(0) = \tau_0, \|\tau\|_{X_\tau(T)} \leq R_2\}$$

$$K(T, R_1, R_2) := K_u(T_0, R_1) \times K_\tau(T, R_2)$$

where

$$u_* = e^{-A_q t} u_0.$$

Fixed point argument: Large data I

Lemma

Let

- $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{n}{2q} < \frac{1}{2}$

Then, there exists $T_0, R_0 > 0$ such that for $T \in (0, T_0)$,
 $R \in (0, R_0)$:

$$\Phi(K(T, R, R)) \subset K(T, R, R).$$

Moreover,

$$\|g(\nabla u, \tau)\|_{T, \infty, q} \leq C, \quad (u, \tau) \in K(T, R, R).$$

Fixed point argument: Large data I

Apply Schauder's fixed point theorem in

$$Z(T) := C([0, T]; C^1(\overline{\Omega})) \times C([0, T], C(\overline{\Omega}))$$

We show

- $K(T, R_0, R_0)$ closed w.r.t. topology of $Z(T)$.
- Continuity of Φ in topology of $Z(T)$.

Uniqueness follows from an energy argument.

Fixed point argument: Large data I

Apply Schauder's fixed point theorem in

$$Z(T) := C([0, T]; C^1(\overline{\Omega})) \times C([0, T], C(\overline{\Omega}))$$

We show

- $K(T, R_0, R_0)$ closed w.r.t. topology of $Z(T)$.
- Continuity of Φ in topology of $Z(T)$.

Uniqueness follows from an energy argument.

Fixed point argument: Large data I

Apply Schauder's fixed point theorem in

$$Z(T) := C([0, T]; C^1(\overline{\Omega})) \times C([0, T], C(\overline{\Omega}))$$

We show

- $K(T, R_0, R_0)$ closed w.r.t. topology of $Z(T)$.
- Continuity of Φ in topology of $Z(T)$.

Uniqueness follows from an energy argument.

Fixed point argument: Large data I

Apply Schauder's fixed point theorem in

$$Z(T) := C([0, T]; C^1(\overline{\Omega})) \times C([0, T], C(\overline{\Omega}))$$

We show

- $K(T, R_0, R_0)$ closed w.r.t. topology of $Z(T)$.
- Continuity of Φ in topology of $Z(T)$.

Uniqueness follows from an energy argument.

Large initial data: Part II

Theorem ([GGN12])

Let

- $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{n}{2q} \leq \frac{1}{2}$.
- assumptions of Proposition WMR fulfilled,
- $g \in C^1$, $g(0, 0) = 0$
- $\mu > 0$

Then, for $f \in L^p(0, T, L^p(\Omega))$, $u_0 \in W^{2-2/p, p}(\Omega)$ satisfying $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $\tau_0 \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ there exists a unique solution

$$(u, \pi, \tau) \in X_u(T) \times Y_u(T) \times (X_\tau(T) \cap W^{1, \infty}(0, T; L^q(\Omega)))$$

of (NS) with $T(u) = T_V(u)$.

Idea of proof: Large data II

Lemma

Let

- $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{n}{2q} < \frac{1}{2}$

Then, there exists $T_0, R_0 > 0$ such that for $T \in (0, T_0)$,
 $R \in (0, R_0)$:

$$\Phi(K(T, R, R)) \subset K(T, R, R).$$

Moreover,

$$\|g(\nabla u, \tau)\|_{T, \infty, q} \leq C, \quad (u, \tau) \in K(T, R, R).$$

Fixed point argument: Large data II

Proposition

Let

- X be a reflexive Banach space or X has a separable pre-dual,
- K be a convex, closed and bounded subset of X ,
- $X \hookrightarrow Y$, where Y is a Banach space,
- $\Phi: X \rightarrow X$ map K into K ,
- for some $\eta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)\|_Y \leq \eta \|x - y\|_Y, \quad x, y \in K.$$

Then there exists a unique fixed point of Φ in K .

Fixed point argument: Large data II

Lemma

Let

- $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{n}{2q} < \frac{1}{2}$.

Then, there exists $T_0, R_0 > 0$ such that for $T \in (0, T_0)$, $R \in (0, R_0)$

$$\|\tilde{u}_2 \otimes \tilde{u}_2 - \tilde{u}_1 \otimes \tilde{u}_1\|_{T,p,q} \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_2 - \tilde{u}_1\|_{Y_u(T)},$$

$$\|\tilde{\tau}_2 - \tilde{\tau}_1\|_{T,p,q} \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{\tau}_2 - \tilde{\tau}_1\|_{Y_\tau(T)},$$

$$\|g(\nabla \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{\tau}_2) - g(\nabla \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\tau}_1)\|_{T,1,q} \leq \varepsilon (\|\tilde{u}_2 - \tilde{u}_1\|_{Y_u(T)} + \|\tilde{\tau}_2 - \tilde{\tau}_1\|_{Y_\tau(T)}),$$

$$\|(\tilde{u}_2 - \tilde{u}_1) \cdot \nabla \tau_1\|_{T,1,q} \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_2 - \tilde{u}_1\|_{Y_u(T)}$$

for $(\tilde{u}_i, \tilde{\tau}_i), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau}), (u_i, \tau_i) \in K(T, R, R)$, $i = 1, 2$. Here:

$\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for $T \rightarrow 0$.

Fixed point arguemnt: Large data II

Combining the last to lemata and the last proposition concludes the argument.

Small data

Theorem ([GGN12])

Let

- assumptions of Proposition WMR fulfilled, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{n}{2q} < \frac{1}{2}$,
- $g \in C^1$, $g(0, 0) = 0$, $\mu \in C^1$, $\mu(0) > 0$, $T_0 > 0$.

Then, there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that for $f \in L^p(0, T_0, L^p(\Omega))$, $u_0 \in W^{2-2/p, p}(\Omega)$ satisfying $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $\tau_0 \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ there exists a unique solution

$$(u, \pi, \tau) \in X_u(T_0) \times Y_u(T_0) \times (X_\tau(T_0) \cap W^{1, \infty}(0, T_0; L^q(\Omega)))$$

of (NS) with $T(u) = T_V(u)$ provided

$$\|f\|_{T_0, p, q} + \|u_0\|_{B_{qp}^{2-\frac{2}{p}}} + \|\tau_0\|_{H^{1, q}(\Omega)} + |\nabla g(0, 0)| \leq \kappa.$$

Idea of Proof: Small data

Rewrite as fixed point problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t u - \mu(0)\Delta u + \nabla \pi &= f - (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u} + \operatorname{div} \tilde{\tau} \\
 &\quad + \operatorname{div} T_{GN}(u) - \mu(0)\Delta u && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\
 \operatorname{div} u &= 0 && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\
 \partial_t \tau + (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla)\tau + b\tau &= g(\nabla \tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau}) && \text{in } J \times \Omega, \\
 u &= 0, && \text{on } J \times \partial\Omega, \\
 u(0) &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\
 \tau(0) &= \tau_0 && \text{in } \Omega.
 \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\Phi : \begin{cases} X_u^A(T_0) \times X_\tau(T_0) & \rightarrow X_u^A(T_0) \times X_\tau(T_0) \\ (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau}) & \mapsto (u, \tau) \end{cases}.$$

Here:

$$X_u^A(T) := X_u(T) \cap L^p(0, T; D(A_q))$$

Fixed point argument: Small data

Lemma

Let

- $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{n}{2q} < \frac{1}{2}$
- $T_0 > 0$

Then, there exists $\kappa_0, C, R_0 > 0$ such that for $R \in (0, R_0)$, $\kappa \in (0, \kappa_0)$:

$$\Phi(K(T_0, R, R/C)) \subset K(T_0, R, R/C).$$

Moreover,

$$\|g(\nabla u, \tau)\|_{T_0, \infty, q} \leq C, \quad (u, \tau) \in K(T_0, R, R/C).$$

Fixed point argument: Small data

Lemma

Let

- $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{n}{2q} < \frac{1}{2}$, $T_0 > 0$.

Then, there exists $C, R_0 > 0$ such that for, $R \in (0, R_0)$

$$\|\tilde{u}_2 \otimes \tilde{u}_2 - \tilde{u}_1 \otimes \tilde{u}_1\|_{T,p,q} \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_2 - \tilde{u}_1\|_{Y_u(T)},$$

$$\|\tilde{\tau}_2 - \tilde{\tau}_1\|_{T,p,q} \leq T_0^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\tilde{\tau}_2 - \tilde{\tau}_1\|_{Y_\tau(T)},$$

$$\|g(\nabla \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{\tau}_2) - g(\nabla \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\tau}_1)\|_{T,1,q} \leq \varepsilon (\|\tilde{u}_2 - \tilde{u}_1\|_{Y_u(T)} + \|\tilde{\tau}_2 - \tilde{\tau}_1\|_{Y_\tau(T)}),$$

$$\|(\tilde{u}_2 - \tilde{u}_1) \cdot \nabla \tau_1\|_{T,1,q} \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_2 - \tilde{u}_1\|_{Y_u(T)}$$

for $(\tilde{u}_i, \tilde{\tau}_i), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau}), (u_i, \tau_i) \in K(T, R, R/C)$, $i = 1, 2$. Here, $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for $\kappa + R \rightarrow 0$.

Small coupling

Remark

The condition

$$|\nabla g(0, 0)| \leq \kappa$$

means small coupling.

Example (Oldroyd-B):

$$g(\nabla u, \tau) = \beta Du - \tau Wu + Wu\tau + a(Du\tau + \tau Du)$$

Global L^2 -Solutions: Small coupling

Situation ([GS90]):

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 2, 3$ bounded.
- Oldroyd-B fluid

Results:

- Global solutions for small data in

$$u \in L^2(0, T; H^{3,2}(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T]; D(A))$$

$$\partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; H^{1,2}(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$$

$$\pi \in L^2(0, T; H^{2,2}(\Omega))$$

$$\tau \in C([0, T]; H^{2,2}(\Omega))$$

Another Approach: Lagrangian coordinates

We set (see [Nes12] for a corresponding free boundary value problem)

$$\Theta : \begin{cases} J \times \Omega_0 & \rightarrow J \times \Omega \\ (t, x) & \mapsto (t, X_u(t, \xi) = \xi + \int_0^t u(s, X_u(s, \xi)) \, ds) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &:= (\Theta^* \tilde{u})(t, x) := \tilde{u}(\Theta^{-1}(t, x)), \\ \pi(t, x) &:= (\Theta^* \tilde{\pi})(t, x) := \tilde{\pi}(\Theta^{-1}(t, x)), \\ \tau(t, x) &:= (\Theta^* \tilde{\tau})(t, x) := \tilde{\tau}(\Theta^{-1}(t, x)). \end{aligned}$$

Note: $(\partial_t \tau + u \cdot \nabla \tau)(t, X_u(t, \xi)) = \partial_t \tilde{\tau}(t, \xi)$

Another Approach: Lagrangian coordinates

We set (see [Nes12] for a corresponding free boundary value problem)

$$\Theta : \begin{cases} J \times \Omega_0 & \rightarrow J \times \Omega \\ (t, x) & \mapsto (t, X_u(t, \xi) = \xi + \int_0^t u(s, X_u(s, \xi)) \, ds) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &:= (\Theta^* \tilde{u})(t, x) := \tilde{u}(\Theta^{-1}(t, x)), \\ \pi(t, x) &:= (\Theta^* \tilde{\pi})(t, x) := \tilde{\pi}(\Theta^{-1}(t, x)), \\ \tau(t, x) &:= (\Theta^* \tilde{\tau})(t, x) := \tilde{\tau}(\Theta^{-1}(t, x)). \end{aligned}$$

Note: $(\partial_t \tau + u \cdot \nabla \tau)(t, X_u(t, \xi)) = \partial_t \tilde{\tau}(t, \xi)$

Functional Calculus

Let

- $A : D(A) \rightarrow X$ be sectorial,
- $\theta \in (0, \pi - \Phi_A)$.

We define

$$f(A)g := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Sigma_\psi} f(z)(z - A)^{-1}g \, dz, \quad g \in X.$$

for

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_0^\infty(\overline{\Sigma_\theta^c}) := \{f : \overline{\Sigma_\theta^c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ analytic,}$$

$$\sup_{|\lambda| < 1} |\lambda^{-\alpha} f(\lambda)| + \sup_{|\lambda| > 1} |\lambda^\alpha f(\lambda)| < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0\},$$

and $\psi \in (\theta, \pi - \Phi_A)$

Functional Calculus

Let

- $A : D(A) \rightarrow X$ be sectorial,
- $\theta \in (0, \pi - \Phi_A)$.

We define

$$f(A)g := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Sigma_\psi} f(z)(z - A)^{-1}g \, dz, \quad g \in X.$$

for

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_0^\infty(\overline{\Sigma_\theta^c}) := \{f : \overline{\Sigma_\theta^c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ analytic,} \\ \sup_{|\lambda| < 1} |\lambda^{-\alpha} f(\lambda)| + \sup_{|\lambda| > 1} |\lambda^\alpha f(\lambda)| < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0\},$$

and $\psi \in (\theta, \pi - \Phi_A)$

\mathcal{H}^∞ -calculus

Let

- $A : D(A) \rightarrow X$ be sectorial.

Then, A admits a *bounded \mathcal{H}^∞ -calculus* if for $\theta \in (0, \pi - \Phi_A)$

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C_\theta \|f\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\Sigma_\theta^c})}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_0^\infty(\overline{\Sigma_\theta^c}).$$

In that case, $f(A)$ is well-defined for

$$f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\overline{\Sigma_\theta^c}) := \{f : \overline{\Sigma_\theta^c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ analytic, } \|f\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\Sigma_\theta^c})} < \infty\}.$$

The weak Neumann problem

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = \nabla \cdot g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ n \cdot \nabla v = n \cdot g & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{WNP}_q)$$

Does there exist a unique weak solution $v \in \widehat{W}^{1,q}(\Omega)$ of (WNP_q) , i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} g \nabla \varphi, \quad \varphi \in \widehat{W}^{1,q'}(\Omega),$$

for $g \in L^q(\Omega)^n$ satisfying $\|v\|_{\widehat{W}^{1,q}(\Omega)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^q(\Omega)^n}$.

Proposition

(WNP_q) is uniquely solvable $\Leftrightarrow P_q$ exists.

\mathcal{H}^∞ -Calculus

Theorem ([GK12])

Assume that

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has uniform C^3 -boundary,
- (WNP_q) is uniquely solvable for some $q \in (1, \infty)$.

Then the Stokes operator $\lambda_0 - A_q$ has a bounded \mathcal{H}^∞ -calculus for some $\lambda_0 > 0$.

Idea of Proof: Key Tool

Proposition ([KKW06])

Assume that

- (X_0, X_1) interpolation couple of reflexive and B -convex spaces,
- $P_j : X_j \rightarrow Y_j$ compatible surjections with compatible right inverses $J_j : Y_j \rightarrow X_j, j = 0, 1,$
- A_j has an \mathcal{H}^∞ -calculus in X_j, B_j \mathcal{R} -sectorial on $Y_j,$ for $\alpha < 0 < \beta$

$$P_0((X_0)_{\alpha, A_0}^\cdot) = (Y_0)_{\alpha, B_0}^\cdot, \quad P_1((X_1)_{\beta, A_1}^\cdot) = (Y_1)_{\beta, B_1}^\cdot,$$

$$J_0((Y_0)_{\alpha, B_0}^\cdot) = (X_0)_{\alpha, A_0}^\cdot, \quad J_1((Y_1)_{\beta, B_1}^\cdot) = (X_1)_{\beta, A_1}^\cdot,$$

Then, B_θ has \mathcal{H}^∞ -calculus on $Y_\theta = [Y_0, Y_1]_\theta, \theta \in (0, 1).$




Idea of Proof

Apply the previous proposition to





$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &:= L^2(\Omega), Y_0 := L_\sigma^2(\Omega), \\ X_1 &:= L^p(\Omega), Y_1 := L_\sigma^p(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

and A_0/A_1 shifted Dirichlet-Laplacian, B_0/B_1 shifted Stokes-Operator.





References I

-  H. Abels, *Bounded imaginary powers and H_∞ -calculus of the Stokes operator in unbounded domains*, Nonlinear Elliptic and Parabolic Problems (C. Michel and J. Escher, eds.), Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., vol. 64, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005, pp. 1–15.
-  H. Abels, *Nonstationary Stokes system with variable viscosity in bounded and unbounded domains*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S **3** (2010), 141–157.
-  N. Arada and A. Sequeira, *Strong steady solutions for a generalized Oldroyd-B model with shear-dependent viscosity in a bounded domain*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **13** (2003), 1303–1323.





References II

-  N. Arada and A. Sequeira, *Steady flows of shear-dependent Oldroyd-B fluids around an obstacle*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **7** (2005), 451–483.
-  H. Abels and Y. Terasawa, *On Stokes operators with variable viscosity in bounded and unbounded domains*, Math. Ann. **344** (2009), 381–429.
-  J.-Y. Chemin and N. Masmoudi, *About lifespan of regular solutions of equations related to viscoelastic fluids*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **33** (2001), 84–112 (electronic).
-  E. Fernández Cara, F. Guillén, and R. R. Ortega, *Existence et unicité de solution forte locale en temps pour des fluides non newtoniens de type Oldroyd (version L^s-L^r)*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **319** (1994), 411–416.





References III

-  E. Fernández-Cara, F. Guillén, and R. R. Ortega, *Some theoretical results concerning non-Newtonian fluids of the Oldroyd kind*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **26** (1998), 1–29.
-  D. Fang, M. Hieber, and R. Zi, *Global existence results for oldroyd-b fluids in exterior domains: The case of non-small coupling parameters*, Preprint.
-  M. Geissert, D. Götz, and M. Nesensohn, *L^p -theory for a generalized nonlinear viscoelastic fluid model in various domains*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, to appear.
-  M. Geissert and T. Hansel, *A non-autonomous model problem for the Oseen-Navier-Stokes flow with rotating effects*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **63** (2011), 1027–1037.





References IV

-  M. Geissert, H. Heck, M. Hieber, and O. Sawada, *Weak Neumann implies Stokes*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, to appear.
-  M. Geissert, H. Heck, M. Hieber, and O. Sawada, *Remarks on the L^p -approach to the Stokes equation on unbounded domains*, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* **3** (2010), 291–297.
-  M. Geissert and P. Kunstmann, *On the H^∞ -calculus for the stokes operator on unbounded domains*, in preparation.
-  C. Guillopé and J.-C. Saut, *Existence results for the flow of viscoelastic fluids with a differential constitutive law*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **15** (1990), 849–869.





References V

-  A. Hakim, *Mathematical analysis of viscoelastic fluids of White-Metzner type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **185** (1994), 675–705.
-  M. Hieber, Y. Naito, and Y. Shibata, *Global existence results for oldroyd-b fluids in exterior domains*, J. Differential Equations **252** (2012), 2617–2629.
-  N. Kalton, P. Kunstmann, and L. Weis, *Perturbation and interpolation theorems for the H^∞ -calculus with applications to differential operators*, Math. Ann. **336** (2006), 747–801.
-  F.-H. Lin, C. Liu, and P. Zhang, *On hydrodynamics of viscoelastic fluids*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **58** (2005), 1437–1471.

References VI

-  Z. Lei, C. Liu, and Y. Zhou, *Global solutions for incompressible viscoelastic fluids*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **188** (2008), 371–398.
-  P. L. Lions and N. Masmoudi, *Global solutions for some Oldroyd models of non-Newtonian flows*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **21** (2000), 131–146.
-  Z. Lei, N. Masmoudi, and Y. Zhou, *Remarks on the blowup criteria for Oldroyd models*, J. Differential Equations **248** (2010), 328–341.
-  L. Molinet and R. Talhouk, *Existence and stability results for 3-D regular flows of viscoelastic fluids of White-Metzner type*, Nonlinear Anal. **58** (2004), 813–833.

References VII

-  M. Nesensohn, *L_p -theory for a class of viscoelastic fluids with and without free surface*, Ph.D. thesis, TU Darmstadt, 2012.
-  A. Noll and J. Saal, *H^∞ -calculus for the Stokes operator on L_q -spaces*, Math. Z. **244** (2003), 651–688.
-  M. Renardy, *Existence of slow steady flows of viscoelastic fluids with differential constitutive equations*, Z. Angew. Math. Mech. **65** (1985), 449–451.
-  D. A. Vortnikov and V. G. Zvyagin, *On the solvability of the initial-value problem for the motion equations of nonlinear viscoelastic medium in the whole space*, Nonlinear Anal. **58** (2004), 631–656.