

Besov space regularity conditions for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations

The 8th Japanese-German International Workshop on
Mathematical Fluid Dynamics
Waseda University, June 17-20, 2013

Reinhard Farwig, TU Darmstadt

(email: farwig@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de)

H. Sohr, University of Paderborn

W. Varnhorn, University of Kassel



The Problem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded C^2 -domain, $u_0 \in L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$ and

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2_\sigma(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega))$$

be a weak solution of the instationary Navier-Stokes equations

$$u_t - \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T)$$

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$$

$$u(0) = u_0 \quad \text{at } t = 0$$

The Problem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded C^2 -domain, $u_0 \in L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$ and

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2_\sigma(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega))$$

be a weak solution of the instationary Navier-Stokes equations

$$u_t - \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T)$$

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$$

$$u(0) = u_0 \quad \text{at } t = 0$$

Find criteria so that u is a strong solution in Serrin's sense

$$u \in L^s(0, T; L^q(\Omega)), \quad \frac{2}{s} + \frac{3}{q} = 1, \quad s > 2, \quad q > 3.$$

First Idea: Optimal Initial Values (I)

- $u_0 \in D(A)$, $A = A_2$: Kiselev & Ladyzhenskaya (1963)

First Idea: Optimal Initial Values (I)

- $u_0 \in D(A)$, $A = A_2$: Kiselev & Ladyzhenskaya (1963)
- $u_0 \in D(A^{1/4})$: Fujita & Kato (1964) (Note:
 $D(A^{1/4}) \subset L^3_\sigma(\Omega)$)

First Idea: Optimal Initial Values (I)

- $u_0 \in D(A)$, $A = A_2$: Kiselev & Ladyzhenskaya (1963)
- $u_0 \in D(A^{1/4})$: Fujita & Kato (1964) (Note:
 $D(A^{1/4}) \subset L^3_\sigma(\Omega)$)
- $u_0 \in L^q_\sigma(\Omega)$; $q > 3$: Fabes, Jones, Rivière (1972), Miyakawa (1981)

First Idea: Optimal Initial Values (I)

- $u_0 \in D(A)$, $A = A_2$: Kiselev & Ladyzhenskaya (1963)
- $u_0 \in D(A^{1/4})$: Fujita & Kato (1964) (Note:
 $D(A^{1/4}) \subset L^3_\sigma(\Omega)$)
- $u_0 \in L^q_\sigma(\Omega)$; $q > 3$: Fabes, Jones, Rivière (1972), Miyakawa (1981)
- $u_0 \in L^3_\sigma(\Omega)$: Miyakawa (1981), Kato (1984), Giga (1986)

First Idea: Optimal Initial Values (I)

- $u_0 \in D(A)$, $A = A_2$: Kiselev & Ladyzhenskaya (1963)
- $u_0 \in D(A^{1/4})$: Fujita & Kato (1964) (Note:
 $D(A^{1/4}) \subset L^3_\sigma(\Omega)$)
- $u_0 \in L^q_\sigma(\Omega)$; $q > 3$: Fabes, Jones, Rivière (1972), Miyakawa (1981)
- $u_0 \in L^3_\sigma(\Omega)$: Miyakawa (1981), Kato (1984), Giga (1986)
- $u_0 \in L^{3,\infty}_\sigma(\Omega)$ & smallness: Kozono & Yamazaki (1995)

First Idea: Optimal Initial Values (I)

- $u_0 \in D(A)$, $A = A_2$: Kiselev & Ladyzhenskaya (1963)
- $u_0 \in D(A^{1/4})$: Fujita & Kato (1964) (Note: $D(A^{1/4}) \subset L^3_\sigma(\Omega)$)
- $u_0 \in L^q_\sigma(\Omega)$; $q > 3$: Fabes, Jones, Rivière (1972), Miyakawa (1981)
- $u_0 \in L^3_\sigma(\Omega)$: Miyakawa (1981), Kato (1984), Giga (1986)
- $u_0 \in L^{3,\infty}_\sigma(\Omega)$ & smallness: Kozono & Yamazaki (1995)

The optimal **necessary and sufficient condition** is described in

R. Farwig, H. Sohr, W. Varnhorn Ann. Univ. Ferrara 55, 89-110 (2009)

R. Farwig, H. Sohr Math. Ann. 345, 631-642 (2009)

R. Farwig, C. Komo (exterior domains) Analysis (Munich) (2013)

First Idea: Optimal Initial Values (II)

Theorem 1 Let the weak solution u satisfy the energy inequality.

- The condition

$$(*) \quad \int_0^\infty \|e^{-tA}u_0\|_q^s dt < \infty$$

is **necessary and sufficient** to guarantee the existence of a unique local strong solution $u \in L^s(0, T'; L^q(\Omega))$, $T' > 0$: the solution $e^{-tA}u_0$ of the corresponding Stokes system satisfies

$$e^{-tA}u_0 \in L^s(0, \infty; L^q(\Omega))$$

First Idea: Optimal Initial Values (II)

Theorem 1 Let the weak solution u satisfy the energy inequality.

- The condition

$$(*) \quad \int_0^\infty \|e^{-tA}u_0\|_q^s dt < \infty$$

is **necessary and sufficient** to guarantee the existence of a unique local strong solution $u \in L^s(0, T'; L^q(\Omega))$, $T' > 0$: the solution $e^{-tA}u_0$ of the corresponding Stokes system satisfies

$$e^{-tA}u_0 \in L^s(0, \infty; L^q(\Omega))$$

- Quantify T' :

First Idea: Optimal Initial Values (II)

Theorem 1 Let the weak solution u satisfy the energy inequality.

- The condition

$$(*) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \|e^{-tA}u_0\|_q^s dt < \infty$$

is **necessary and sufficient** to guarantee the existence of a unique local strong solution $u \in L^s(0, T'; L^q(\Omega))$, $T' > 0$: the solution $e^{-tA}u_0$ of the corresponding Stokes system satisfies

$$e^{-tA}u_0 \in L^s(0, \infty; L^q(\Omega))$$

- Quantify T' : $\exists \varepsilon_* = \varepsilon_*(\Omega, q) > 0$ such that if

$$\left(\int_0^T \|e^{-tA}u_0\|_q^s dt \right)^{1/s} \leq \varepsilon_*, \quad 0 < T \leq \infty,$$

then $\exists!$ strong solution $u \in L^s(0, T; L^q(\Omega))$.

First Idea: Optimal Initial Values (III)

- Since $\|e^{-tA}u_0\|_q \leq ct^{-\alpha} \|u_0\|_2$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{q} \right)$, only the integrability of $\|e^{-tA}u_0\|_q^s$ on some interval $(0, \delta)$ in (*) is important!

First Idea: Optimal Initial Values (III)

- Since $\|e^{-tA}u_0\|_q \leq ct^{-\alpha} \|u_0\|_2$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{q} \right)$, only the integrability of $\|e^{-tA}u_0\|_q^s$ on some interval $(0, \delta)$ in (*) is important!
- (*) is equivalent to the condition

$$u_0 \in \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega) := \mathbb{B}_{q,s}^{-2/s}(\Omega) = (\mathbb{B}_{q',s'}^{2/s})'(\Omega)$$

Altogether we need $u_0 \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{s,q}(\Omega) := \mathbb{B}_{q,s}^{-2/s}(\Omega) \cap L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$

First Idea: Optimal Initial Values (III)

- Since $\|e^{-tA}u_0\|_q \leq ct^{-\alpha} \|u_0\|_2$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{q} \right)$, only the integrability of $\|e^{-tA}u_0\|_q^s$ on some interval $(0, \delta)$ in (*) is important!
- (*) is equivalent to the condition

$$u_0 \in \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega) := \mathbb{B}_{q,s}^{-2/s}(\Omega) = (\mathbb{B}_{q',s'}^{2/s})'(\Omega)$$

Altogether we need $u_0 \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{s,q}(\Omega) := \mathbb{B}_{q,s}^{-2/s}(\Omega) \cap L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$

- We do need only that $u_0 \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_\delta^{s,q}(\Omega) := \mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}(\Omega) \cap L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$ where

$$\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}(\Omega) := \{u_0 : \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}}^s = \int_0^\delta \|e^{-tA}u_0\|_q^s dt < \infty\}$$

and that

$$\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}} < \varepsilon_*$$

to see that the weak solution u is strong on $[0, \delta)$.

Ideas

- Use the criteria for optimal initial values at every or for a.a. $t_0 \in (0, T)$ to prove that a weak solution u satisfies $u \in L^s(0, T; L^q(\Omega))$

Ideas

- Use the criteria for optimal initial values at every or for a.a. $t_0 \in (0, T)$ to prove that a weak solution u satisfies $u \in L^s(0, T; L^q(\Omega))$
- For the identification of the weak solution with the local strong solution starting at t_0 we need the *strong energy inequality (SEI)*, i.e.,

$$(SEI) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_2^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \|\nabla u\|_2^2 d\tau \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u(t_0)\|_2^2$$

for a.a. $0 \leq t_0 \leq T$ (including $t_0 = 0$) and all $t \in (t_0, T)$.

Notes

- $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\delta^{s,q}$ is with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\delta^{s,q}} = \|\cdot\|_{L^2} + \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}}$ is a separable reflexive Banach space

Notes

- $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\delta^{s,q}$ is with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\delta^{s,q}} = \|\cdot\|_{L^2} + \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}}$ is a separable reflexive Banach space
- For $3 < q \leq s < \infty$ we have the embeddings

$$D(A_2^{1/4}) \subset L_\sigma^3(\Omega) \subset L_\sigma^{3,s}(\Omega) \subset \hat{\mathcal{B}}_\delta^{s,q}(\Omega) = \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{s,q}(\Omega)$$

Notes

- $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\delta^{s,q}$ is with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\delta^{s,q}} = \|\cdot\|_{L^2} + \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}}$ is a separable reflexive Banach space
- For $3 < q \leq s < \infty$ we have the embeddings

$$D(A_2^{1/4}) \subset L_\sigma^3(\Omega) \subset L_\sigma^{3,s}(\Omega) \subset \hat{\mathcal{B}}_\delta^{s,q}(\Omega) = \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{s,q}(\Omega)$$

- For $3 < q \leq s < \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} C^0([0, T]; D(A_2^{1/4})) &\subset C^0([0, T]; L_\sigma^3(\Omega)) \\ &\subset C^0([0, T]; L_\sigma^{3,s}(\Omega)) \subset C^0([0, T]; \hat{\mathcal{B}}_\delta^{s,q}(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 (Regularity)

Let the weak solution u satisfy $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathcal{B}^{s, q}(\Omega))$. Then

u is regular if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

1

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|u\|_{L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathcal{B}_{\delta}^{s, q}(\Omega))} = 0 \quad \text{for each } 0 < T' < T$$

Theorem 2 (Regularity)

Let the weak solution u satisfy $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathcal{B}^{s, q}(\Omega))$. Then

u is regular if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

1

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|u\|_{L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathcal{B}_{\delta}^{s, q}(\Omega))} = 0 \quad \text{for each } 0 < T' < T$$

2

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}^{s, q}(\Omega)} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for a.a. } t \in (0, T')$$

Theorem 2 (Regularity)

Let the weak solution u satisfy $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega))$. Then

u is regular if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

1

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|u\|_{L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathcal{B}_{\delta}^{s,q}(\Omega))} = 0 \quad \text{for each } 0 < T' < T$$

2

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}^{s,q}(\Omega)} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for a.a. } t \in (0, T')$$

3

$$u \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega))$$

Theorem 2 (Regularity)

Let the weak solution u satisfy $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega))$. Then

u is regular if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

①

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|u\|_{L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathcal{B}_{\delta}^{s,q}(\Omega))} = 0 \quad \text{for each } 0 < T' < T$$

②

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}^{s,q}(\Omega)} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for a.a. } t \in (0, T')$$

③

$$u \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega))$$

④

In (3) the space $\mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega)$ may be replaced by $D(A_2^{1/4})$, $L_{\sigma}^3(\Omega)$ and $L_{\sigma}^{3,s}(\Omega)$.

Proof of Theorem 2

- ① $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, T'; \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega)) \cap C_w^0([0, T']; L_{\sigma}^2(\Omega))$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{s,q}(\Omega) \subset L_{\sigma}^2(\Omega) \Rightarrow u \in C_w^0([0, T']; \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega))$ and hence

$$(**) \quad \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T'; \mathcal{B}^{s,q})} = \sup_{t \in [0, T']} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s,q}}$$

The same result holds for $\mathcal{B}_{\delta}^{s,q}(\Omega)$.

Proof of Theorem 2

- ① $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, T'; \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega)) \cap C_w^0([0, T']; L_\sigma^2(\Omega))$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{s,q}(\Omega) \subset L_\sigma^2(\Omega) \Rightarrow u \in C_w^0([0, T']; \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega))$ and hence

$$(**) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T'; \mathcal{B}^{s,q})} = \sup_{t \in [0, T']} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s,q}}$$

The same result holds for $\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}(\Omega)$.

Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\|u(t_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}} < \varepsilon_*$ for all $t_0 \in [0, T']$, but consider only those t_0 where (SEI) holds.

Theorem 1 $\Rightarrow u$ is regular on $[0, T']$.

Proof of Theorem 2

- ① $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, T'; \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega)) \cap C_w^0([0, T']; L_\sigma^2(\Omega))$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{s,q}(\Omega) \subset L_\sigma^2(\Omega) \Rightarrow u \in C_w^0([0, T']; \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega))$ and hence

$$(**) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T'; \mathcal{B}^{s,q})} = \sup_{t \in [0, T']} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s,q}}$$

The same result holds for $\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}(\Omega)$.

Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\|u(t_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}} < \varepsilon_*$ for all $t_0 \in [0, T']$, but consider only those t_0 where (SEI) holds.

Theorem 1 $\Rightarrow u$ is regular on $[0, T']$.

- ② Let $\mathcal{N} \subset (0, T)$ be the exceptional set in (2). By (**)

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T']} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}} = \|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T'; \mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q})} \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T'] \setminus \mathcal{N}} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}}$$

$\rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Use (1)

Proof of Theorem 2

- ① $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, T'; \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega)) \cap C_w^0([0, T']; L_\sigma^2(\Omega))$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{s,q}(\Omega) \subset L_\sigma^2(\Omega) \Rightarrow u \in C_w^0([0, T']; \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega))$ and hence

$$(**) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T'; \mathcal{B}^{s,q})} = \sup_{t \in [0, T']} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s,q}}$$

The same result holds for $\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}(\Omega)$.

Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\|u(t_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}} < \varepsilon_*$ for all $t_0 \in [0, T']$, but consider only those t_0 where (SEI) holds.

Theorem 1 $\Rightarrow u$ is regular on $[0, T']$.

- ② Let $\mathcal{N} \subset (0, T)$ be the exceptional set in (2). By (**)

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T']} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}} = \|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T'; \mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q})} \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T'] \setminus \mathcal{N}} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}}$$

$\rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Use (1)

- ③ Use uniform continuity on $[0, T']$ and the pointwise limit $\|u(t_j)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}}$ in equidistant epochs t_j .

Theorem 3 (Uniqueness)

Let the weak solution u satisfy $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega))$. Then

u is uniquely determined by $u_0 \in L_{\sigma}^2(\Omega)$

if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- 1 The energy inequality holds for each $t_0 \in [0, T)$
- 2 The kinetic energy $\|u(t)\|_2^2$ is continuous on $[0, T)$
- 3 $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}([0, T); L_{\sigma}^{3,\infty}(\Omega))$

Proof of Theorem 3

- 1 Let u, \tilde{u} be two weak solutions with $u(0) = \tilde{u}(0)$ and assume $u = \tilde{u}$ on a maximal interval $[0, T_1)$ where $T_1 < T$
 $u, \tilde{u} \in C_w^0([0, T]; L^2) \Rightarrow u(T_1) = \tilde{u}(T_1).$

Proof of Theorem 3

① Let u, \tilde{u} be two weak solutions with $u(0) = \tilde{u}(0)$ and assume $u = \tilde{u}$ on a maximal interval $[0, T_1)$ where $T_1 < T$
 $u, \tilde{u} \in C_w^0([0, T]; L^2) \Rightarrow u(T_1) = \tilde{u}(T_1).$

$u(T_1) \in \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0 \|u(T_1)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}} < \varepsilon^*$

u satisfies energy inequality at $T_1 \Rightarrow$

$u \in L^s([T_1, T_1 + \delta); L^q(\Omega))$

\tilde{u} satisfies energy inequality at $T_1 \Rightarrow u = \tilde{u}$ on $[T_1, T_1 + \delta)$,
i.e., T_1 was not maximal

Proof of Theorem 3

- ① Let u, \tilde{u} be two weak solutions with $u(0) = \tilde{u}(0)$ and assume $u = \tilde{u}$ on a maximal interval $[0, T_1)$ where $T_1 < T$
 $u, \tilde{u} \in C_w^0([0, T]; L^2) \Rightarrow u(T_1) = \tilde{u}(T_1).$

$$u(T_1) \in \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0 \ \|u(T_1)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}} < \varepsilon^*$$

u satisfies energy inequality at $T_1 \Rightarrow$

$$u \in L^s([T_1, T_1 + \delta); L^q(\Omega))$$

\tilde{u} satisfies energy inequality at $T_1 \Rightarrow u = \tilde{u}$ on $[T_1, T_1 + \delta)$,
i.e., T_1 was not maximal

- ② (2) \Rightarrow (1)

Proof of Theorem 3

- ① Let u, \tilde{u} be two weak solutions with $u(0) = \tilde{u}(0)$ and assume $u = \tilde{u}$ on a maximal interval $[0, T_1)$ where $T_1 < T$
 $u, \tilde{u} \in C_w^0([0, T]; L^2) \Rightarrow u(T_1) = \tilde{u}(T_1).$

$$u(T_1) \in \mathcal{B}^{s,q}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0 \ \|u(T_1)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^{s,q}} < \varepsilon^*$$

u satisfies energy inequality at $T_1 \Rightarrow$

$$u \in L^s([T_1, T_1 + \delta); L^q(\Omega))$$

\tilde{u} satisfies energy inequality at $T_1 \Rightarrow u = \tilde{u}$ on $[T_1, T_1 + \delta)$,
i.e., T_1 was not maximal

- ② (2) \Rightarrow (1)

- ③ $\|v \otimes w\|_{L^{2,2}} \leq c \|v\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \|w\|_{L^{6,2}} \leq c \|v\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}$
 $\Rightarrow u \otimes u \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \ u \in L^4(0, T; L^4(\Omega))$
 $\Rightarrow u$ even satisfies the energy identity

$\mathcal{K}^{s,q}$ —space regularity conditions for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations

$\kappa^{s,q}$ —space regularity conditions for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations

