

**On uniqueness of symmetric
Navier-Stokes flows around a body in
the plane**

**Tomoyuki Nakatsuka
(Nagoya University)**

Ω : Exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^2 with Lipschitz boundary

$$(NSt) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \end{array} \right.$$

$u = (u_1, u_2)$: unknown velocity vector

p : unknown pressure

$f = (f_1, f_2)$: given external force

Difficulty of the problem

- Stokes paradox (Linear theory is not useful)
- $\nabla u \in L^2(\Omega)$ does not imply $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ ($|x| \rightarrow \infty$)

We have no general theory of the existence for (NSt)

in spite of important contributions:

Leray(1933), Fujita(1961), Gilbarg-Weinberger(1974,78),
Amick(1988), etc.

Galdi(2004), Yamazaki(2011), Pileckas-Russo(2012)

Symmetry \Rightarrow Existence of solutions to (NSt)

Assumption:

Ω satisfies

$$(x_1, x_2) \in \Omega \Rightarrow (x_1, -x_2), (-x_1, x_2) \in \Omega$$

Symmetry condition on $u = (u_1, u_2)$:

For each $i = 1, 2$, either $u_i(x_1, x_2) = -u_i(-x_1, x_2)$
or $u_i(x_1, x_2) = -u_i(x_1, -x_2)$ holds.

Function spaces

- $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega) := \{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega); \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}$
- $\dot{H}_{0,\sigma}^1(\Omega)$: the completion of $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)$ in the norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|_2$
- $\dot{H}_{0,\sigma}^{1,S}(\Omega) := \{u \in \dot{H}_{0,\sigma}^1(\Omega); u \text{ is symmetric}\}$

Definition. Given $f \in \dot{H}_{0,\sigma}^1(\Omega)^*$, we say that a function $u \in \dot{H}_{0,\sigma}^{1,S}(\Omega)$ is a symmetric weak solution of (NSt) if u satisfies

$$(\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) + (u \cdot \nabla u, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) \quad \text{for } \forall \varphi \in C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega).$$

Remark 1 (Galdi(2004), Russo(2009)).

$$u \in \dot{H}_{0,\sigma}^{1,S}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} |u(r, \theta)|^2 d\theta = 0$$

Known results

- Galdi(2004), Pileckas-Russo(2012)

Under symmetry assumption on $f \in \dot{H}_{0,\sigma}^1(\Omega)^*$

\exists symmetric weak solution $u \in \dot{H}_{0,\sigma}^{1,S}(\Omega)$ with
 $u_1(x_1, x_2) = u_1(x_1, -x_2) = -u_1(-x_1, x_2),$
 $u_2(x_1, x_2) = -u_2(x_1, -x_2) = u_2(-x_1, x_2)$
and the energy inequality

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq (f, u)$$

- Yamazaki(2011)

Under stronger symmetry assumption on Ω and f

\exists unique symmetric solution u with

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} (|x| + 1)^\alpha |u(x)| : \text{small} \quad (\alpha \in [1, 2]),$$
$$\nabla u \in L^r(\Omega) \text{ for } \forall r \in (1, q] \quad (q > 2)$$

Theorem. Suppose $u, v \in \dot{H}_{0,\sigma}^{1,S}(\Omega)$, having the same symmetry property, are symmetric weak solutions of (NSt). There exists a constant $\delta = \delta(\Omega)$ such that if

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq (f, u) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x \in \Omega} (|x| + 1)|v(x)| \leq \delta,$$

then $u = v$.

Remark 2. As an application, we can give information on the asymptotic behavior of a symmetric weak solution such as

$$|u(x)| = O(|x|^{-1}) \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty$$

provided that f satisfies the conditions imposed by Yamazaki(2011).

Important step in the proof

Take u and v as test functions in the weak form of (NSt) .

Difficulty

Little information on the class of $u \cdot \nabla u$

Lemma 1 (Galdi(2004)). *Let $u \in \dot{H}_{0,\sigma}^{1,S}(\Omega)$. There exists a constant $C = C(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq C \|\nabla u\|_2^2.$$

By Lemma 1, we see

$$\left\| \frac{u}{|x|+1} \cdot \nabla u \right\|_1 \leq \left\| \frac{u}{|x|+1} \right\|_2 \|\nabla u\|_2 \leq C \|\nabla u\|_2^2$$

Lemma 2. For every $v \in \dot{H}_{0,\sigma}^1(\Omega)$ with

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} (|x| + 1)|v(x)| < \infty,$$

there exists a sequence $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla v_n &\rightarrow \nabla v && \text{in } L^2(\Omega), \\ (|x| + 1)v_n &\rightarrow (|x| + 1)v && \text{weakly } * \text{ in } L^\infty(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemmas 1 and 2 yield

$$\begin{aligned} (u \cdot \nabla u, v_n) &= \left(\frac{u}{|x| + 1} \cdot \nabla u, (|x| + 1)v_n \right) \\ &\rightarrow \left(\frac{u}{|x| + 1} \cdot \nabla u, (|x| + 1)v \right) \\ &= (u \cdot \nabla u, v). \end{aligned}$$